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#### Abstract

J. ent. Soc. Ont. 143: 15-105

The genus Ooctonus Haliday (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) includes 14 species in the Nearctic Region north of Mexico. The known species are redescribed and five new species are described: $O$. arizonensis Huber, sp. n., $O$. boltei Huber, sp. n., O. longipetiolus Huber sp. n., O. readae Huber, sp. n., and $O$. triapitsymi Huber, sp. n. One new synonym is proposed: O. auripes Whittaker, syn. n., under $O$. vulgatus Haliday. A key to females is given. Known hosts in North America are Cercopoidea (one species of Aphrophora Germar and one of Philaenus Stål) and, in the Old World, Cicadellidae (one species of Nephotettix Matsumura and one of Cicadella Latreille).
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## Introduction

Ooctonus Haliday (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) is predominantly a Northern Hemisphere genus. Twelve species are recorded in the Palaearctic Region and five in the Oriental Region (Triapitsyn 2010). Burks (1979) catalogued eight species in the Nearctic region. Triapitsyn (2010) synonymized two Nearctic names under European names (americaums Girault under vulgatus Haliday, and auripes Whittaker under notatus Walker) and recorded three other European species (hemipterus Haliday, insignis Haliday, and sublaevis Foerster) in the Nearctic region, the latter two mistakenly, as discussed below. Three native and one introduced species ( $O$. vulgatus) are known from the Southern Hemisphere (Huber et al. 2010).

The Nearctic species are revised here. They are classified into 14 species, 5 of them new. Although no species have been described from south of the USA, a few specimens have been collected from high elevations in Mexico and from Costa Rica, and will be treated separately.

## Materials and Methods

About 2040 specimens (about 1050 females and 990 males from Canada and USA) and an additional 2I0 European specimens identified by Triapitsyn (2010) and 160 specimens identified by the author using the key in Triapitsyn (2010) were seen. The specimens were borrowed from the following institutions (curators in parentheses), or if not borrowed (some types) the depository is given without a curator name.

AEIC - American Entomological Institute, Gainesville, Florida (D. Wahl).
BMNH - Natural History Museum, London, UK (G. Broad).
CAS - California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California (R. Zuparko).
CFS-Great Lakes - Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario (I. Ochoa).
CFS-Pacific - Canadian Forest Service, Victoria, British Columbia (L. Humble).
CLEV - Cleveland Museum of Natural History, Cleveland, Ohio (T. Pucci).
CNC - Canadian National Collection of Insects, Ottawa, Ontario (A. Bennett).
ICCM - Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Insects and Spiders Section, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania (J. Rawlins).
NMID - National Museum of Ireland, Dublin, Ireland.
NMPC - Bouček collection, National Museum (Natural History), Prague, Czech Republic (P. Janšta).

ROM - Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Ontario (C. Darling).
UCFC - University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida (S. Fullerton).
UCRC - University of California, Riverside, California (S. Triapitsyn).
USNM - National Museum of Natural History, Washington, District of Columbia (M. Gates).

Within America north of Mexico some species appear to be fairly uniform throughout their range; others appear to be more variable, making it difficult to describe them and find stable features to distinguish them from other species. A conservative view of species is therefore used to avoid subdividing the genus into numerous 'species' that cannot be defined meaningfully, as was unfortunately done by W. Soyka (194I) for the European Ooctonus. Two or more species may be obtained from the same collecting event, so care must be taken to examine all specimens from a locality in case the series is mixed.

Photographs were taken with a digital CCD camera attached to a compound microscope, and the resulting layers combined electronically using Auto-Montage ${ }^{k}$ and retouched as needed with Adobe ${ }^{\mathbb{1}}$ Photoshop. Micrographs were taken with a scanning electron microscope after gold coating specimens mounted on stubs with carbon tape or, on occasion, from uncoated specimens on their card or point mounts.

Measurements are given in micrometers ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). Body length was measured from the transverse trabecula to the gastral apex for critical point dried specimens; the remaining measurements were from cleared and slide mounted specimens. Triapitsyn (2010) should be consulted for most references to species described from Europe and reliably reported in North America, i.e., O. hemipterus, O. notatus, and $O$. vulgatus. A few references to these species that he missed are given below; the numerous others are not duplicated here.

Structures are labelled mostly on Figs. 610 and 193-199, and abbreviations are explained Table 1. Terms are according to Gibson (1997), Krogmann and Vilhelmsen (2006) for the body, Ronquist and Nordlander (1989) and Gibson (2004) for some wing structures. Terms in Viggiani (1970; 1988), and Chiappini and Mazzoni (2000) are used for male genitalia; the basal ring is absent in Mymaridae, so their labcling of it is incorrcct.

Two structural characters not previously used in taxonomy of Mymaridac are named here because they are useful to help to define genera or groups of genera within Mymaridae though they may not help distinguish species within a given genus. Both characters are internal and can only be observed in properly cleared and slide mounted specimens. The first character occurs inside the compound eye, seen in lateral view. The eye is rimmed internally by a narrow ocular ridge that becomes more or less widened anteriorly (Takaie 1999, fig. 5B) and often continues well into the eye as a long, slender ocular apophysis. In Ooctonut species the apophyses are wide and blunt apically (Fig. 62). The second character, named here the scutellar fenestra, is a lighter area of varying shape usually between and posterior to the placoid sensilla on the anterior scutellum seen in dorsal view, but in many genera it may occupy much of the anterior scutellum. In Ooctonus, the scutellar fenestra (Fig. 140) is a triangle with rounded corners, a narrower apex anteriorly, and wider base posteriorly at the level of the frenal line. Internally, it seems to be defined by a thinning on the underside of the cuticle, hence the lighter colour compared to the surrounding cuticle. The area of thinning partly lines up with the fenestra, a hole through the thin, transverse internal septum across the scutellar-axillar complex present in some genera (Fig. 139, and Krogmann and Vilhelmsen 2006, fig. 10D). A fan-shaped muscle ( $\mathrm{t}_{2}-\mathrm{t}_{3}$ ) that appears to originate on the posterior ridge of the frenum (its origin is in the metasctellum) fans out anteriorly past the apex of the fenestra. It lies along the inner surface of the frenum for its entire length and can be seen from the outside though the cuticle (Fig. 140) or from the inside (Fig. 139) against the inner surface of the frenum. The shape of the scutellar fenestra differs somewhat among Ooctonus species.

Sixty-four Ooctonus specimens were submitted for barcoding to the Biodiversity Institute, Guelph, Ontario. Specimens were removed from their cards, DNA was extracted using non-destructive methods (Ivanova et al. 2006; Porco et al. 2010), and returned in ethanol to the CNC where they were critical point dried for a second time and remounted on pins with their tracking numbers and original locality labels, and their identities corrected, where necessary. A 658 -bp region near the $5^{\prime}$ terminus of the CO 1 gene was amplified using standard primers (LepF1-LepR1). If the internal 658 bp amplification was not successful composite sequences were generated using internal primers. Primer information for individual sequences can be retrieved from the Barcode of Life System (BOLD) (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007). Collection data for all barcoded specimens are in the Material examined sections. Successful barcodes and the corresponding Genbank sequences are listed in Table 2.

## Taxonomy

Ooctonus Haliday, 1833: 269, 343. Type species: O. insignis Haliday, designated by Westwood 1839: 78.

Sphecomicrns Haliday in Walker, 1846: 50 (and erwato). Type species: O. insignis Haliday, designated by Peck, 1951: 410.
Ooctomis Haliday: Triapitsyn 2010: 11 (literaturc, diagnosis, classification, distribution, hosts, keys to Palaearctic, European, and Oriental species); Huber et al., 2010: 222 (African species).

Diagnosis. Ooctomus is distinguished from all other genera of Mymaridae by the combination of tarsi 5-segmented, propodeum with diamond-shaped pattern of carinae (Figs. 7, 8, 97138), fore wing venation about one-third the wing length, and parastigma with hypochaeta next to proximal macrochaeta (Fig. 10). Botudiennyia is the most similar genus, differing by the propodeum with an H -shaped pattern of carinae and fore wing venation about half the wing length.

Description. Female. Colour. Dark brown to black, usually with base of antenna, legs and petiole yellow or brown. Sculpture. Head, mesoscutum, mesoscutellum, anterior half of axilla, and sometimes metanotum, propodeum and petiole with fairly conspicuous, usually engraved, reticulate microsculpture, the reticulations largest on the frenum. Head. Face without subantennal sulci and without a small pit medially next to each torulus (Figs. 26-39). Antenna. Funicle 8 -segmented; clava entire (Figs. 63-76), with 8 mps ( 7 in a few extralimital species) (Fig. 76). Mesosoma. Pronotum (Fig. 7) entire, with transverse carina posteriorly separating short, curved collar with strongly angular anterolateral corners from anteriorly tapering neck; notauli complete, deep but thin; propleura broadly abutting anteriorly (Fig. 197), thus separating prosternum from head [prosternum 'closed']; prosternal discrimen complete; prepectus strap-like, about as wide dorsally and ventrally (Figs. 6, 197); frenum more than half length of mesoscutellum and separated from mesoscutellum by curved row of shallow fovea (Fig. 7); metanotum with distinct, usually smooth metascutellum (Fig. 8); propodeum (Fig. 8) with plicae (sublateral carinae of Triapitsyn 2010) extending from posterior margin near outer margin of coxae almost to anterior margin at about level of lateral margins of metascutellum, with submedian carinae arranged in a rhomboid (diamond) shape, and usually with a short transverse carina (costula) extending between submedian carina and plica (the median area of propodeum thus consists of five large, usually complete, pentagonal areoles); anterior margin of propodeum often with a short posteriorly-directed and strongly sclerotized spur almost opposite anterior apex of plica; propodeal nucha short, separated anteriorly from pentagonal areoles by a transverse carina; Legs. Tarsi 5-segmented. Metasoma. Petiole narrow, much longer than wide (Fig. 195), with posterior half divided by a ventrolongitudinal suture (as in Fig. 198); gaster (Fig. 195) smooth except for slight reticulation around apex of petiole (at junction of $\mathrm{gs}_{1}$ ); gt , the largest tergum, apparently 3 -lobed in dorsal view, the two lateral lobes extending posteriorly and, in lateral view (Fig. 195), with their strongly rounded margins covering anterior sterna and part of $\mathrm{gt}_{2}$, especially ventrally; $\mathrm{gt}_{1}$, with a tuft of 3 appressed, posteriorly directed setae next to a deep pit at junction between median and lateral lobes and midway between anterior and posterior margins of tergum; gt almost as long as gt; remaining terga short; $\mathrm{gt}_{6}$ with spiracle; $\mathrm{gt}_{7}$ with cerci, in dorsal view, separated from each other by more than a cercus width.

Male. Similar to female except antenna, sometimes ocelli and shape of gaster (Fig. 196). Flagellum II-segmented, each with several mps extending almost entire length of segment. Ocelli usually slightly larger than in female, e.g., Figs. 46 vs 47 and Figs. 54 vs 55. Metasoma without spiraele. Gaster with gs, the longest segment (Fig. 199), but it and following sterna often hidden by terga (Fig. 198). Genitalia (Figs. 178-186, 191-194) usually at least half length of gaster, with a long, tubular phallobase, aedeagal apodemes apparently not artieulated but continuous with base of aedeagus, digiti with 3 teeth and'parameres' slender and fairly long.

Males usually have darker legs than females. This is most noticeable in species with uniformly yellow legs in females. In one species, O. hemipterus, male fore wing shape is different from wing shape in macropterous females (micropterous males are not known).

Species characters. The species within almost any genus of Mymaridae are often very similar, difficult to characterize and distinguish from one another. Usually, several qualitative features and quantitative features (measurements, ratios, numbers of sensilla, structural features) taken together are needed to distinguish a species. In Ooctomus, the most important feature for all species is the pattern of propodeal carinae, and mps distribution on and proportions of female flagellar segments. Other qualitative characters that help define some species are: leg, antenna, and petiole colour, relative size of compound eye, ocellar diameter relative to LOL, presence of a stemmaticum (Fig. 43-white lines in cleared specimens from mid to lateral ocelli), mesosomal seta length, and wing shape. Mierosculpture, of the mesonotal midlobe in particular, varies subtly among species and, if present (oceasionally it is absent medially on frenum) is best deseribed using two features: the mesh surrounding each cell, its shape and whether it is above or below the cell, and the cell itself (sculpticell, Goulet 1996: 23) (whether it is higher or lower relative to the mesh). It is best seen in seanning electon micrographs (Figs. 100-102, 106-108, 112-114, 118-120 123-138). Other characters that are sometimes useful are fore and hind wing proportions, presence or absence of a hair line/wing fold, fore wing marginal vein length, female gaster shape, and ovipositor/metatibia ratio.

Males are difficult or impossible to identify to species (as is usual for Mymaridae) unless they can be clearly associated with the corresponding females. Male antennae vary in length, with length/width of $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ varying from 2.85-5.03 and total flagellum length varying from 918-2027, depending on the speeimen and the species. Because male antennal length and flagellomere proportions can vary considerably within a species and there is often substantial overlap in these measurements they are often not useful for speeies identification. Flagellomere shape may sometimes be useful, varying from evenly cylindrical to slightly swollen basally. Overall length of the genitalia, shape and length/width proportions of the phallobase, and length of aedeagal apodemes compared to the aedeagus vary among the species.

Type material. Whittaker (1931) deseribed four species of Ooctonus. In his brief introduction he stated that a paratype of each species was placed in the USNM but gave no other depositories for the remaining specimens. They were found in the BMNH. He also did not specifically state under each species description that one specimen was the holotype. A red label with "paratype" typed on it is pinned under each specimen in the USNM and a
second red paratype label with a USNM number was later added. A red label with "type" written in black ink on it is pinned under one specimen of each of the Whittaker species in BMNH1 and a lectotype label (white circle with purple border) is the top label on one specimen of each of them but this was clearly added later and the lectotype designation was not published. Despite the red 'type' or 'paratype' labels on the specimens, I formally designatc below the specimens bearing lectotype labels in the BMNH as lectotypes because no holotypes were specified in the original descriptions.

Biology. The few host records available indicate that Ooctomls species parasitize eggs of Cercopoidea and, less often, Cicadellidae. Members of both host families cause direct feeding damage and may also transmit plant pathogens (Hamilton 1982; Nault 1989; Dupo and Barrion 2009). Triapitsyn (2010) summarized the few host records for the Palaearctic region- O. orientalis Doutt parasitizes Nephotettix cincticeps (Uhler) (cited in Doutt 1961) and Cicadella viridis L. (Doutt 1961 ) (Cicadellidae). An unidentified species, questionably of Ooctohils, parasitizes Nephotettix virescens (Distant) on rice (Dupo and Barrion 2009). The only previously published hosts for Ooctonus in North America are O. aphrophorae Milliron on Aphrophora saratogensis (Fitch) (Milliron 1947) and O. vulgatus (as $O$. ahericanus) on Plilaenus spumarius (L.) (Weaver and King 1954). The white pine weevil, Pissodes strobi Peck (Curculionidae), is recorded below as a host of O. quadricarinatus. This record is doubtful and needs confirmation before it can be accepted as reliable.

## Key to Nearctic Ooctonus species in America North of Mexico. Females.

1 Micropterous or brachypterous, the wings not extending beyond apex of gaster (Fig. 1) and venation length at least half wing length (Figs. 147, 148) $\qquad$ ..hemipterus Haliday (part) Macropterous, the wings extending well beyond gastral apex (Figs. 2-5) and venation length much less than half wing length (Figs. 141-146, 149-158)...2

2(1) Ovipositor at least $1.7 \times$ as long as metatibia and exserted considerably beyond apex of gaster (Figs. 3, 159, 187, 189); legs black or dark brown, coxae almost same colour as mesosoma (Fig. 3).3

Ovipositor at most $1.4 \times$ as long as metatibia and at most only slightly exserted beyond apex of gaster (e.g., Figs. 2, 160); legs yellow or brown, coxae usually different in colour from mesosoma, yellow or light brown (Figs. 1, 2, 4, 5) but sometimes ( $O$. fuscipes) dark brown .4

3(2) Gaster unusual in shape (Figs. 159, 187), in lateral view with dorsal margin of $\mathrm{gt}_{\text {, }}$ concave posteriorly and gaster projecting anteriorly under mesosoma; petiole attached about $0.25 \times$ gaster length from anterior apex of gaster; funicle without mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ (Fig. 63). $\qquad$ aphrophorae Milliron Gaster normal in shape (Figs. 160-177, 188-190), in lateral view with dorsal margin of $\mathrm{gt}_{1}$ more or less straight; petiole attached almost at anterior apex of gaster; funicle with 1 (rarely 0 ) mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and 1 on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ (Fig. 72).
.quadricarinatus Girault

4(2) Vertex with stemmaticum [on cleared speeimens seen as white lines extending from supraorbital trabecula along eye margin to mid ocellus and from mid ocellus to lateral ocelli (Figs. 41, 43, 45); on dry specimens seen as lines or slightly raised ridges (Figs. 52, 53, 56)]......................................................... 5 Vertex without stemmaticum (Figs. 40, 42, 44, 54, 55, 57-61)....................... 8

5(4) Mesosoma laterally and propodeum between major carinae entirely covered with retieulate seulpture (Figs. 105, 108, 130); fore wing apex rounded and with distinet brown basal band (Fig. 146).................hemipterus Haliday (part) Mesosoma laterally and propodeum between major carinae entirely smooth (Figs. 90, 91, 93, 94, 96, 126-129, 131-138); fore wing apex truncate and without brown band (Figs. 141-145, 150-158).
.6

6(5) Propodeal spur absent (Figs. 111, 114, 133) [also absent in hemipterius, Figs. 92, 105, 108, 130]; mesoscutum without median longitudinal groove (Fig. 111); $\mathrm{fl}_{4}$ without mps (Fig. 71). occidentalis
Propodeal spur present (Figs. 125-129, 131, 132, 134-136); mesoscutum with median longitudinal groove (Figs. 103, 106, 109, 112); $\mathrm{fl}_{4}$ with at least 1 mps (Figs. 66, 69) 7

7(6) Petiole shorter than combined lengths of metacoxa and metatrochanter (Fig. 162); clava short, at most $0.18 \times$ funicle length; entire funicle longer, e.g., length/width ratio of $\mathrm{fl}_{2}$ at least about 5.8 (Fig. 66)..........canadensis Whittaker Petiole longer than combined lengths of metacoxa and metatrochanter (Fig. 165); elava longer, at least $0.26 \times$ funicle length; entire funicle shorter, e.g., length/width ratio of $\mathrm{fl}_{2}$ at most about 3.8 (Fig. 69).
longipetiolus Huber, sp. n.
8(4) Frenum smooth medially (Figs. 116, 117, 119, 120, 122, 124), rarely reticulate only in posterior third. .9
$\qquad$ faintly so.11
$9(8) \quad \mathrm{Fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ without mps (Figs. 74, 76)........................................................... 10 $\mathrm{Fl}_{5}$ with 1 mps and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ with 1 (rarely without) mps (Fig. 73). .readae Huber, sp. n.

10(9) Propodeum without a median carina, the pentagonal areole joined to anterior margin of propodeum by converging dorsolateral carinae (Figs. 122, 124. 138); marginal + stigmal vein short (Fig. 158); funicle with $\mathrm{fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{4}$ relatively long, e.g., fl, length/width at least about 2.7 (Fig. 76); ocelli small ( $16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), LOL about $4.0 \times$ mid ocellar diameter (Fig. 61) $\qquad$ vulgatus Haliday Propodeum with a short median carina, the pentagonal areole thus separated from anterior margin of propodeum (Figs. 117, 120, 136); marginal + stigmal

> vein longer (Fig. 156); funicle with $\mathrm{fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{4}$ relatively short, e.g., $\mathrm{fl}_{2}$ length/ width at most 2.3 (Fig. 74); ocelli larger $(24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), LOL about $2.0 \times$ mid ocellar diameter (Fig. 59 )...........................................................ilvensis Girault
$11(8) \quad \mathrm{Fl}_{5}$ without mps (Fig. 67), rarely with 1 mps on one antenna.
fuscipes Whittaker
$\mathrm{Fl}_{5}$ with 1 or 2 mps on both antennae................................................................ 12
12(11) Propodeum without a median carina, the pentagonal areole joined to anterior margin by converging dorsolateral carinae (Figs. 110, 113, 132); fl without mps (Fig. 70); smaller species, with body at most $930 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long and fore wing length at most $950 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. $\qquad$ notatus Walker Propodeum with a median carina, the pentagonal areole distinctly separated from anterior margin; $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ with at least 1 mps , rarely without; larger species, with body at least $1075 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long and fore wing length at least $1100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long........ 13

13(12) Ovipositor shorter, at most $0.80 \times$ metatibia length..............boltei Huber, sp. n. Ovipositor longer, at least $0.97 \times$ metatibia length. 14

14(13) Legs uniformly yellow; apical funicle segments shorter and wider, e.g., $\mathrm{fl}_{4}, \mathrm{ff}_{5}$, $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios at most $2.19,2.07$, and 1.81 , respectively; body slightly shorter, at most $1330 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. .triapitsyni Huber, sp. n. Legs mainly brown; apical funicle segments longer and narrower, e.g., $\mathrm{fl}_{4}, \mathrm{fl}_{5}, \mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios at least $2.40,2.33,1.99$, respectively; body slightly longer, at least $1350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ arizonensis Huber, sp. n.

## Ooctonus aphrophorae Milliron

(Figs. 26, 46, 47, 63, 77, 89, 97, 100, 125, 141, 159, 178, 187, 191)
Ooctonus aphrophorae Milliron, 1947: 219; Peck, 1951: 410 (catalogue); Ewan, 1961: 43 (host); Peck, 1963: 18 (catalogue); Herting, 1972: 10 (host); Wilson, 1978: 3 (percent parasitism); Burks, 1979: 1027 (catalogue, Ohio record).

Type material. Holotype $q$ in USNM (examined), on triangular card point labelled 1. "USA: Wisc. Lakewood, 18 Sept. '46". 2. "Ex egg of Aphrophora saratogensis (Fitch)". 3. "Ooctonus $q$ aphrophorae Milliron ' 46 Holotype". 3. "Type No. 58267 U.S.N.M.". Paratypes. 75 , 42 (USNM, examined). USA. Wisconsin. Oconto Co.: Lakewood, 18, 20, 21 and 26 ix.1946, ex Aphrophora saratogensis. The paratype numbers are lower than reported by Milliron (1947) because several card triangles examined no longer had specimens on them.

Diagnosis. Ooctonus aphrophorae is distinguished from other Nearctic species by the unusual gaster that projects anteriorly under the mesosoma and is very narrow anteriorly (Fig. 159), with the petiole attached quite far from the anterior apex of the gaster and the dorsal surface of gt, concave posteriorly (Fig. 187).

In colour $O$. aphrophorae is most similar to $O$. quadricarinatus (both have black coxae) but the body is smaller, antemal segments are shorter and without mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{6}$ (Fig. 63) ( $O$. quadricarinatus with mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{6}$ ), and the metascutellum and anterolateral arcolc have some reticulate sculpture (Fig. 125) (O. quadricarinalus. without sculpture).

Description. Female. Body length 1152-1357 (critical point dried, Constancc Bay, Ontario, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) or $1126-1280$ (air dried, Lakewood, Wisconsin, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), all in natural position. Head and mesosoma black, metasoma dark brown; antenna brown except somctimcs radicle and pedicel laterally, and sometimes flagellum light brown; legs brown, except basc and apex of tibiae, and tarsomeres 1-4 yellowish. Head. Head (Fig. 26) width ( $n=4$ ) 323-334. Vertex without stemmaticum (Fig. 46). Mid ocellus diameter 26-29. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 63) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}, 2$ on $\mathrm{f}_{8}$, and 7 on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}$ usually slightly the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ : $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 2.86-3.23, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 2.33-3.02, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 2.35-3.31, \mathrm{fl}_{4}$ $2.29-3.03, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 2.06-2.78, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.69-2.29$; clava $3.62-4.10 \times$ as long as wide, and almost as long as $\mathrm{fl}_{5}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape 199-231/39-44, pedicel $68-7 \mathrm{l} / 33-36, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 56-69 / 19-21, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 50-60 / 20-23, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 55-72 / 22-24, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 51-66 / 21-24, \mathrm{fl}_{5}$ $54-67 / 24-27, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 47-59 / 25-28, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 59-67 / 31-34, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 58-64 / 34-37$, clava $178-216 / 48-54$. Total flagellum length 608-749. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 97, 100) with collar wide, moderately long and clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Fig. 97, 100-male) wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved; scutellar seta long, extending posterior to straight or medially slightly concave frenal line; frenum about $0.75 \times$ mesoscutellum length and entirely reticulate, with a few short carinae extending posteriorly from anterior margin. Metanotum with metascutellum long, with several irregular and incomplete carinae extending towards each other from anterior and posterior margins, and lateral lobes smooth except for about 2 longitudinal carinae (Fig. 89-male). Propodeum (Figs. 97, 100-male, 125) smooth between carinae, except anterolateral areole with some reticulate sculpture, and anterior margin of propodeum with a stub slightly lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole separated from metascutellum by at most a very short median carina (appearing double in slide mounts); plica almost straight, its anterior apex in line with apex of stub, with medial branch of anterior bifurcation extending almost to anterior margin of propodeum and lateral branch short and curved. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 141) length 1207-1323, width 463-534, length/width 2.48-2.70, longest marginal setae $56-92$, about $0.15 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ). Hind wing (Fig.141) length $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ 969-1046, width $60-75$, longest marginal setae $90-115$. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa + macrotrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 159) with ovipositor length 977-1237 ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ), $1.99-2.44 \times$ as long as metatibia length (480-528) and distinctly projecting beyond gastral apex (Figs. 159, 187).

Male. Body length. 1178-1306 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Antenna (Fig. 77). Measurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ : scape $158-164$, pedicel $56-66, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 116-129, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 135-137, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 129-131, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 129-130$, $\mathrm{fl}_{5} 127-131, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 129-130, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 127-130, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 125-129, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 125-127, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 119-125, \mathrm{fl}_{11} 124-138$. Propodeum (Fig. 100) as in female. Total flagellar length 1386-1436. $\mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width 3.38-3.52, with about 9 mps . Mid ocellus (Fig. 47) diameter 29-36. Gaster (Fig. 191). Genitalia fairly wide (dorsal view) for most of length (Figs. 178, 191).

Hosts and Habitat. The host is Aphrophoro saratogensis (Fitch). Specimens were obtained by rearing host eggs and swecping Jack pinc; one male emerged from old host eggs in dead red maple twigs (Milliron 1947). The species is solitary-only onc wasp emerges from a host egg. The rearing record from Rhacionia (as Evetria) buoliana [(Denis and Schiffermüller)] (Tortricidac) is almost certainly incorrect, as are any of the very few rccords of Mymaridae from Lepidoptera. However, it possibly represents an opportunistic and evidently successful parasitism of an unusual host egg in the same microhabitat (pine shoots) in which the normal host would be found.

Material examined. 447 and 32 in addition to type material. CANADA. Ontario. Constance Bay [near Ottawa], 12-19.x.1973, G. Gibson, YPT (17. CNC), 21.viii-7.ix.1983, M. Sanborne ( 2 , $1 \delta^{\top}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ). Quebec. Lusk ville falls [near Gatineau], 300m, 17-22.ix.1986, J. Denis, L. Dumouchel, YPT (1 1 , CNC). USA. New York. Nassaı Co.: Nassau Boulevard, 18.ix.1915. L.C. Griffith, ex Evetria bıoliana (17.1 USNM). Wisconsin. Oconto Co.: Lakewood, 5, 9, 13, 17, 18, 20, 22, and 23.ix. 1946 ex A. saratogensis eggs (19q. 14, USNM), 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, and 21.ix.1946, sweeping Jack pine ( 19 , 150, USNM), ex egg of A. saratogensis coll. 5.ix and emerged 7.ix. 1946 ( $1 \widehat{\circ}$, USNM), ex eggs of A. saratogetrsis coll. 18.ix and emerged $25 . \mathrm{ix} .1946$ ( 3 empty eggs, iq still stuck to an egg. USNM).

> Ooctomus arizonensis Huber, sp. n.
> (Figs. $19,27,40,48,64,78,90,98,101,126,142,160)$

Type material. Holotype $\%$ in CNC, on slide (Fig. 19) labelled 1. "USA: AZ, Cochise Co. Chiricahua Mts. Rustler Park, 6000 ', 15.vii.1982, G. Gibson". 2. "Ooctonus arizonensis Huber Holotype $q$ dorsal".

Paratypes. 12 4 and 49 . USA. Arizona. Cochise Co.: same data as holotype (1才, CNC); Chiricahua Mountains, Barfoot Park, ca. 8600', 26.viii.1982, J. LaSalle (1 , CNC), Rustler Park, 8200', 26.viii.1982, J. LaSalle, sweeping ( $2+1$ 23.viii, 21.ix.1987, H. \& M. Townes (19, 30, AEIC); Carr Canyon, summit camp, 8000', 18.viii.1993, M. Sharkey ( $1+1 \jmath^{7}$, CNC); Huachuca Mountains, Miller Canyon, 1600 m , 11.viii.1982, G. Gibson (27, CNC); Portal, 5000', 19.x.1978, L. Masner, C. Yoshimoto (17, CNC); Portal, 2, 4, 6, 18, $21 . i x .1987$, H.K. Townes (17, 11 , AEIC); Portal, Southern Research Station, 11.ix. 1978, G. Gordh ( 17 , UCRC); 12 mi. S. Sierra Vista, Ramsey Canyon, 1700 m , 17.v, 6-13.vii CNCHYM07510 [barcode failed], 24.vii, 4-22.ix CNCHYM07511, 27.ix, 11.x, 26.xi-5.xii.1986, B.V. Brown, oak/juniper, MT (1q, 29, AEIC, CNC). Pima Co.: Santa Catalina Mountains, Molino Basin, 4200 and 4300', 2-4.viii.1982, G. Gibson (2), 23, CNC).

Derivation of specific epithet. The species is named after the state in which all the specimens were collected.

Diagnosis. Ooctonus arizonensis is distinguished from other Nearctic species by the combination of body length greater than about $1350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, brown legs, and usually 1 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ (Fig. 64) and ovipositor at most scarcely exserted.

Ooctomus arizonensis is similar O. quadricarinatus in size but the latter has a distinctly exserted ovipositor. O. arizonensis is most similar to $O$. fuscipes but the latter lacks mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$. Barcoding suggest that the latter two are closely related. Because of differences in number of mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ and different ranges (Arizona mountains vs Pacific coast forests) I treat them as separate specics.

Description. Female. Body length 1357-1510 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Head and mesosoma very dark brown, metasoma brown; scape and pedicel yellowish to light brown laterally, remainder of flagellum dark brown; petiole and legs, light brown. Head. Head (Fig. 27) width ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ) 347-372. Vertex without stemmaticum (Fig. 40, 48-male). Mid ocellus diameter 25-31. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 64) with 1 (exceptionally 2) mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}, 1$ or 0 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}, 2 \mathrm{mps}$ on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{5}$ slightly the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{FI}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/ width ratios: $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 2.95-3.39, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 2.57-3.02, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 2.56-2.83, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 2.40-2.67, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 2.33-2.47$, and $\mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.99-2.23$; clava $3.07-3.91 \times$ as long as wide, and slightly longer than $\mathrm{fl}_{6}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements, length/width ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape 239-255/38-45, pedicel 69-75/37-39, fli 62$69 / 20-23, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 63-70 / 22-24, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 63-71 / 24-26, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 57-63 / 23-25, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 64-72 / 27-30, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 53$ 62/26-29, fl $77-75 / 29-35, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 63-68 / 32-40$, clava 205-230/56-67. Total flagellum length 695-780. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 98, 101) with collar wide, moderately long and clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 98, 101) wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved; scutellar seta short, extending to medially slightly curved frenal line; frenum $0.62-72 \times$ mesoscutellum length and entirely reticulate. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 126) smooth between carinae and its anterior margin with stub, the latter just lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole separated from metascutellum by median carina; plica curved, extending almost to anterior margin of propodeum just medial to stub, without an anterior bifurcation but with a slight curved thickening posterior to the stub. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 142) length 1457-1568, width 513-553, length/width 2.83-2.95, longest marginal setae $84-99$, about $0.17 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $n=5$ ). Marginal vein length about 173. Hind wing (Fig. 142) length 1138-1264, width 61-76, longest marginal setae 128-141. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa + mesotrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 160) with ovipositor length 546-569 $(\mathrm{n}=5), 0.97-1.18 \times$ as long as metatibia (507-561).

Male. Body length 1331-1587 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Legs darker than in female. Antenna (Fig. 78). Measurements, length ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape 185-220, pedicel 64-73, fl 105-134, fl 115-141, $\mathrm{fl}_{3} 110-135, \mathrm{ff}_{4} 107-135, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 110-114, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 106-132, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 105-134, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 102-128, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 105-127$, fl 10 95-121, $\mathrm{fl}_{11} 94-126$. Total flagellar length 1163-1446. $\mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width $3.11-3.89$, with 7 or 8 mps . Eye small (Fig. 90). Mid ocellus (Fig. 48) diameter 28-30.

Barcoding. Five specimens were submitted for barcoding, all of them males, and one (CNCHYM07511) yielded a barcode. Because males are usually unidentifiable it is difficult to be sure what species they represented prior to barcoding but the only two possibilities based on locality data are O. arizonensis (CNCHYM07511) and O. triapitsyni. Based on their larger size, two of the specimens (CNCHYM07510 and CNCHYM07511) are $O$. arizonensis and have the same data as some females of that species. The remaining three specimens are listed under O. triapitsyni. CNCHYM07511 is almost identical to that of $O$. fuscipes specimens ( 1 base pair difference), suggesting that $O$. arizonensis and $O$.
fuscipes are the same species. They are kept separate because of slight differences in the proportions of $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$, different distribution of mps ( mps present on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and usually $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ in arizonensis, absent in fuscipes), and different geographic ranges.

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Specimens have been collected in an oak/juniper habitat.

## Ooctonus boltei Huber, sp. n.

(Figs. 20, 28, 49, 65, 99, 102, 127, 143, 161)
Type material. Holotype $q$ in CNC, on slide (Fig. 20) labelled 1. "Canada: MB, Riding Mt. Nat. Park, 3 km E. Clear Lake, 29.V111. 1979 S.J. Miller, pitfall trap, beaver meadow". 2. "Ooctonus boltei Huber $q$ dorsal".

Paratypes. $8 \not \subset$ and 3or. CANADA. Manitoba. Same data as holotype, CNCHYM07481 [barcode failed] ( 2 q, CNC); Aweme, Criddle Homestead, $49^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ $99^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 24 . v i i .2007$, H. Goulet, mixed grass prairie, CNCHYM07479 [barcode failed] ( $1 \delta^{7}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ); Riding Mountain National Park, 1 km E. Clear Lake, 16.viii.1979, S.J. Miller, small meadow, Malaise trap (1\%, CNC), near refuse pit, 29.vii.1979, S.J. Miller, aspen stand, pan trap, CNCHYM07483 [barcode failed] (19, 10, CNC), Wishing Well road, 20.viii. 1979, D.B. Lyons, CNCHYM07482 [barcode failed) ( 2 , 1 , ${ }^{\text {O }}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ); 0.1 km N . Onanole, 29.viii.1979, S.J. Miller, field-forest edge (1q, CNC). New Brunswick. Fundy National Park, Wolfe Point campground, 27.viii.1984, M. Kaulbars (1 $~$, CNC).

Derivation of specific epithet. The species is named after Klaus Bolte, an outstanding technician at the CNC for almost four decades, who worked for the author from 1988 until his retirement.

Diagnosis. Ooctonus boltei is distinguished from other Nearctic species by the combination of $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ without mps (exceptionally one antenna with 1 mps ), uniform yellow legs, entirely reticulate mesoscutellum, ovipositor at most $0.78 \times$ as long as metatibia, and body length at least $1300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Ooctonus boltei is similar to $O$. triapitsyni, which also has uniform yellow legs, but differs by the absence of mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ ( mps present in $O$. triapitsyni) and ovipositor shorter than metatibia (longer than metatibia in O. triapitsyni). It is also similar to $O$. notatus but has the ovipositor shorter than metatibia (longer in $O$. notatus).
Description. Female. Body length 1331-1434 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Head and mesosoma dark brown, metasoma brown; $\mathrm{fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{3}$ light brown to brown, remainder of flagellum dark brown; petiole, legs, scape and pedicel uniformly yellow, though sometimes scape and pedicel light brown and $\mathrm{fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{3}$ honey yellow. Head. Head (Fig. 28) width ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) 375-390. Vertex without stemmaticum. Mid ocellus diameter 26 (Fig. 49). Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 65) with 1 (exceptionally 2 ) mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}, 1$ or 0 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}, 2 \mathrm{mps}$ on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}$ slightly the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios: $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 3.61-3.98, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 2.85-3.55$, $\mathrm{fl}_{3} 2.69-3.09, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 2.43-2.88, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 2.44-2.97, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 2.27-2.55$; clava $3.96-4.38 \times$ as long as wide and shorter than $\mathrm{fl}_{5}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ): scape 240-270/41-42, pedicel $68-73 / 34-39, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 76-83 / 21, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 65-83 / 22-23, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 69-79 / 26, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 59-70 / 24-26, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 66-$
$74 / 25-27, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 59-66 / 24-27, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 72-78 / 30-36, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 68-75 / 32-39$, clava 238-249/54 63. Total flagellum length 772-857. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 99, 102) with collar moderately wide, long and clcarly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 99, 102) widc; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes raiscd; scutellar scta fairly short, extending to almost straight frenal line; frenum $0.64-0.69 \times$ mesoscutellum length and entircly reticulate. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 127) smooth between carinae and its anterior margin with a stub just lateral to lateral margin of metascutcllum; median areole separated from metascutellum by short median carina; plica almost straight, extending almost to anterior margin, not bifurcate anteriorly. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 143male) length 1433-1515, width 494-505, length/width $2.90-3.00$, longest marginal setae $66-72$, about $0.14 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ). Marginal vein length 168-180. Hind wing (Fig. 143-male) length 1102-1160, width 58-59, longest marginal setae $104-$ 135. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa + mesotrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 161) with ovipositor length 431-476 ( $n=3$ ), $0.74-0.78 \times$ as long as metatibia $(560-611)$.

Male. Body length $1357(\mathrm{n}=1)$. Colour as in female except pedicel dorsally and entire flagellum brown. Antennal measurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=1)$ scape 213 , pedicel $71, \mathrm{fl}_{1}$ $126, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 137, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 129, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 134, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 131, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 128, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 131, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 126, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 125, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 113, \mathrm{fl}_{11}$ ca 107. Total flagellar length $1387 . \mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width 3.64 , with about 6 mps . Mid ocellus diameter 30 .

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Specimens have been collected in a small meadow. aspen stand, and field/forest edge.

Barcoding. Four specimens (CNCHYM07479, CNCHYM07481, CNCHYM07482, and CNCHYM07483) were submitted but no barcodes were obtained.

## Ooctonus canadensis Whittaker

(Figs. 12, 29, 41, 50, 66, 79, 103, 106, 128, 144, 162, 183)
Ooctonus canadensis Whittaker, 1931: 190; Peck, 1951: 410 (catalogue); Peck, 1963:18 (catalogue); Burks, 1979: 1027 (catalogue).

Ooctonus sublaevis Foerster: Triapitsyn, 2010: 47 (misidentification). The male specimen from Alaska, listed below, bears a 2009 identification label by Triapitsyn reading "Ooctonus sp. insignis-type" but was incorrectly published as O. sublaevis.

Type material. Lectotype $q$ in BMNH (examined), here designated to avoid ambiguity about the status of the type specimens of this species, on card (Fig. 12) labelled 1."Lectotype [purple edged circle]". 2. "Type [red rectangular label]". 3. "Holyburn, B.C. 7.IX. 30 Coll. O.W.". 4. Canada: O. Whittaker Coll. per W.H. Storey. B.M. 1947-212.". 5. Ooctonus canadensis Whitt. \& Det. O. Whittaker". 6. "B.M. Type Hym. 5.2320". The lectotype is mounted dorsal side up on a card with wings, legs, and antennae (dorsal view) spread out.

Paralectotype. One $q$ in USNM (examined), mounted in same way as lectotype and labelled 1. "Paratype". 2. "Paratype No. 43551 U.S.N.M." 3. "Hollyburn, B.C. 31.VI11.30 Coll. O.W.". 4. "Ooctonus canadensis Whitt. $q$ Det. O. Whittaker".
Diagnosis. Ooctonus canadensis females are distinguished from other Nearctic species by
the combination of vertex with stemmaticum (Figs. 41,50), relatively short clava (Fig. 66), midlobe of mesoscutum with long median groove, and propodeum with plicae straight but diverging and bifurcating anteriorly, with the medial arm long, curved and almost touching anterior margin of propodeum and the lateral arm almost straight and extending as far as level of spiracle (Figs. 103, 106, 128).

Ooctomus cancalensis is most similar to O. longipetiolus, sp. n., which has most of the above features but in $O$. canadensis the plica diverge more (less in $O$. longipetiolus), the petiole is not much longer than the metacoxa (distinctly longer in $O$. longipetiolus), and the ovipositor is as long as the metatibia ( $0.7 \times$ as long in $O$. longipetiolus).

Description. Female. Body length 1382-1664 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Head and mesosoma dark brown, metasoma and flagellum brown; scape, pedicel, and legs except metacoxa dark yellow, metacoxa brownish yellow. Head. Head (Fig. 29) width 351-356 (n=2). Vertex (Fig. 41male, 50 -male) with stemmaticum. Mid ocellus diameter about 45. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 66) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{4}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ (one specimen with only 1 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{4}$ of one antenna) and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{2}$ the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios: $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 4.86-5.60, \mathrm{fl}_{2}$ $5.77-6.92, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 5.04-5.98, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 4.07-4.82, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 2.76-3.27, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 2.76-3.42$; clava $3.17-4.23 \times$ as long as wide, slightly longer than $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=2$ ): scape 241-254/42-50, pedicel 71/39-41, fl, 113-130/22-23, fl $130-143 / 23, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 129-139 / 26-27$, $\mathrm{fl}_{4} 116-122 / 29-32, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 103-109 / 32-35, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 87-98 / 28-34, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 81-93 / 30-31, \mathrm{ff}_{8} 71-78 / 31-32$, clava 167-184/44-53. Total flagellum length 997-1096. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 103, 106 -male) with collar narrow, long and clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 103, 106-male) moderately wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved and with longitudinal median groove; scutellar seta long, extending posterior to medially concave frenal line; frenum about $0.6 \times$ mesoscutellum length and entirely reticulate. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 128-male) smooth between carinae and its anterior margin with stub slightly lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; dorsolateral areole with a thin, wavy or broken carina close to and parallel with anterior margin; median areole well separated from metascutellum by long median carina not extending to metascutellum; dorsolateral areole much larger than ventrolateral areole; plica straight, not extending to metascutellum (if it did, it would meet metascutellum lateral to its lateral margin) and bifurcate anteriorly with a long lateral and shorter medial arm. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 144) length 1676-1800, width 598-640, length/width $2.80-$ 2.81, longest marginal setae $92-104$, about $0.33 \times$ as long as greatest wing width. Hind wing (Fig. 144) length 1293-1344, width $60-65$, longest marginal setae $130(\mathrm{n}=2)$. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa + metatrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 162) with ovipositor length $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ 555-617, 0.96-1.08x as long as metatibia (579-590).

Male. Body length. 1485-1587 ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ). Antenna (Fig. 79). Measurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=1)$ : scape 195, pedicel $62, \mathrm{fl}_{\mathrm{I}} 163, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 192, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 192, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 194, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 197, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 193, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 188, \mathrm{fl}_{8}$ 180, $\mathrm{fl}_{9} 173, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 148, \mathrm{fl}_{11} 148$ (last two flagellomeres measured from critical point dried specimen). Total flagellar length 1820. Each flagellomere bottle-shaped, distinctly wider towards base. $\mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width 4.56, with about 7 mps . Mid ocellus diameter 41 (Figs. 41 . 50). Genitalia fairly narrow for anterior half of length (Fig. 183, 193).

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Specimens have been collected during two of the same collecting events as $O$. occidentalis but $O$. cancadensis is evidently much less common. Specimens of both species from California were swept from under story vegetation (ferns) in a redwood forest (L. Masner, personal communication) and both species were collected at Dredge Lakc, Alaska.

Barcoding. One of two specimens yicldcd a DNA barcode (CNCHYM07506) but the other did not (CNCHYM07505) so nothing can be said about intraspecific variation bascd on DNA. I had incorrectly assigned CNCHYM07506 to O. occidentalis prior to barcoding. The relatively large difference in barcodes (ca. 3.5\%) between it and the other specimen made me re-examine it and I realized it was actually $O$. canadensis.

Material examined. $8+$ and 63 . CANADA. British Columbia. Terrace, 11 .viii. I960, W.R. Richards (1才, CNC); Vancouver I., Lake Cowichan, 1.7 km N. of town, 24 and 31.vii. 1979 . I.M. Smith, sweeping ( 1 q, $1 \delta, \mathrm{CNC}$ ). USA. Alaska. 14 km N. Juneau, Mendenhall Valley, Dredge Lake, 17-25.viii.1999, M. Schutz, MT (1 $q$, UCRC); Valdez, Valdez Glacier Campground, 2.viii.1978, P.H. Arnaud (1§, CAS). California. Del Norte Co.: Crescent City, 3.viii.1940, H. \& M. Townes (1 $\%$, AEIC); Klamath, coastal trail, 3.viii.1985, L. Masner, sweeping, CNCHYM07505 [barcode failed], CNCHYM07506 (4?, 2 ${ }^{\hat{\prime}}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ). Washington. Clallam Co.: Lake Ozette, north shore, 24.vi.1990, J.D. Pinto ( $3 J^{3}$, CNC).

Comment. A specimen from North Carolina, Yancey Co., Mt. Mitchell, 6800', 12.viii.1957, J.G. Chillcott ( $1 \%, \mathrm{CNC}$ ) is puzzling. It has no mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{4}$. Because $O$. canadensis is morphologically close to $O$. longipetiolus 1 thought perhaps the specimen belonged to the latter species but it does not. More material is needed from North Carolina to determine its status.

## Ooctonus fuscipes Whittaker

(Figs. 13, 30, 42, 51, 67, 80, 91, 104, 107, 129, 145, 163, 179)
Ooctonus fuscipes Whittaker, 1931: 189; Peck, 1951: 411 (catalogue); Peck, 1963: 18 (catalogue); Burks, 1979: 1027 (catalogue).

Oöctonus [sic] fuscipes; Britton, 1938: 146 (catalogue, misidentification).

Type material. Lectotype $q$ in BMNH (examined), here designated to avoid ambiguity about the status of the type specimens of this species, on card (Fig. 13) labeled: 1."Lectotype [purple edged circle]". 2. "Type [red rectangular label]". 3. "Hollyburn, B.C. 7.IX. 28 Coll. O.W.". 4. Canada: O. Whittaker Coll. Per W.H. Storey. B.M. 1947-212.". 5. Ooctonus fuscipes Whitt. \& Det. O. Whittaker". 6. "B.M. Type Hym. 5.2318". Type in good condition, mounted in dorsal view on card with wings, legs, and antennae spread out.

Paralectotypes. Whittaker stated that he had four specimens of $O$. fuscipes. Two paralectotypes are supposed to be in BMNH but only one of them could be found there. It was examined but is covered in mould; it has the same data as the lectotype but was collected on 4.ix.1929. Its colour, antennal proportions, and as much structure that could
be seen clearly match the lectotype. The paralcetotype female in USNM (cxamined) is mounted in same way as the Icetotype and labelled 1. "Paratype". 2. "Paratype No. 43552 U.S.N.M.." 3. "Hollyburn, B.C. 27.VIII. 30 Coll. O.W.". 4. "Ooctonus fuscipes Whitt. of Det. O. Whittaker". It is in good condition and also matches the lectotype.

Diagnosis. Ooctomus fuscipes females are distinguished from other Nearetic species by the body length more than about $1200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, brown legs (Fig. 13), no mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ (Fig. 67) and ovipositor not or scarcely exserted.

Ooctomus fuscipes is similar in size and leg colour to $O$. arizonensis and $O$. quadricarinatus but differs by the distribution of mps on the funicle segments (at least 1 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ in the latter two species).

Description. Female. Body length $1230-1382$ ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Head, antenna except radicle, sometimes scape laterally, and apex of pedicel, mesosoma, and gaster dark brown/orange brown; radicle, apex of pedicel, petiole, and taromeres 1-4, dark yellow. Legs vary from mostly dark brown to mostly yellowish brown, except yellowish joints and tarsomeres 1-4. Head. Head (Fig. 30) width 326-365 (n=4). Vertex (Fig. 42-male, 51) without stemmaticum; mid ocellus diameter 33. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 67) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{7}$ slightly the longest segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 2.87-3.29, \mathrm{fl}_{2}$ $2.75-3.06, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 2.83-3.44, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 2.41-3.23, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 2.64-3.22, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 2.25-3.63$; clava $3.62-3.87 \times$ as long as wide, slightly longer than $\mathrm{fl}_{6}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=4$ ): scape $221-248 / 36-41$, pedicel 67-72/34-39, fl $60-73 / 19-26, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 57-63 / 20-24, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 64-74 / 21-26$, $\mathrm{fl}_{4} 57-68 / 20-24, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 65-74 / 23-26, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 53-63 / 24-27, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 69-75 / 29-36, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 60-68 / 34-38$, clava 202-234/52-65. Total flagellum length 687-791. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 104, 107) with collar wide, moderately long and clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 104, 107) wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved; scutellar seta long, extending well posterior to medially almost straight frenal line; frenum $0.62-0.66 \times$ mesoscutellum length and entirely reticulate. Metanotum with metascutellum faintly reticulate posteriorly. Propodeum (Fig. 129) smooth between carinae and its anterior margin with stub, the latter just lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole separated from metascutellum by short median carina; plica (Fig. 91-male) straight except anteriorly where curved medially and extending as a short arm to metascutellum just medial to stub but lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 145) length 1345-1561, width 505-610, length/width 2.56-2.77, longest marginal setae 73-91, about $0.15 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $n=4$ ). Marginal vein length $150-156$. Hind wing (Fig. 145) length 1033-1203, width 171-80, longest marginal setae 115-134. Metasoma. Petiole slightly shorter than metacoxa + metatrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 163) with ovipositor length $466-515(\mathrm{n}=4), 0.80-1.00 \times$ as long as metatibia.

Male. Body length. 1331-1434 ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ). Antenna (Fig. 80). Measurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ : scape 214-218, pedicel 67-69, fl, 111-116, fl2 138-141, fl $141-132, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 130-131$, $\mathrm{fl}_{5} 128-132, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 127-128, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 122-124, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 121-123, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 120-125, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 114-116, \mathrm{fl}_{11} 113-116$. Total flagellar length 1364-1372. $\mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width $3.74-3.83$, with about 8 mps . Mid ocellus diameter 33. Genitalia short (Fig. 179).

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Specimens have been collected $31-39 \mathrm{~m}$ in height in the canopy of ancient (up to 700 ycars old) Sitka spruce trees or on the forest floor ground cover of Salmonberry, Devil's Club, Alaskan Blucberry and False Azalea in the upper Carmanah Vallcy.
A few specimens were collected clsewhere in borcal forcst, and on occan side vegetation. The Upper Carmanah Vatley sample consisted of several Malaise traps at $30-49 \mathrm{~m}$ height in Sitka spruce trees, and at ground level on ancient forcst floor, transition zone, and a 4 ha clear cut. The largest number ( 362 specimens) of a single specics of Ooctonus from one area that 1 have seen was collected there. The sex ratio was hcavily biased towards males, with only $28.4 \%$ females, unlike the situation for most populations of most species of Mymaridac, where females predominate. Interesting location within habitat differences occur between males $(\mathrm{n}=257)$ and females $(\mathrm{n}=97)$. For femates, none $(0 \%)$ were collected in the canopy, $16(16.5 \%)$ in the transition zone, $10(10.3 \%)$ in the clear cut and $71(73.2 \%)$ on the forest floor. For males, the respective numbers were $176(68.5 \%), 36(14.0 \%), 10(3.8 \%)$, and 36 ( $13.6 \%$ ). Presumably, females remain on the forest floor searching for suitable host eggs, whereas males move into the canopy to disperse. Neither sex was common in clear cut or transitional zone areas. Unfortunately, the hosts and therefore the location of egg deposition are unknown. The other two Ooctonus species, O. notatus $(\mathrm{n}=50)$ and $O$. vulgatus $(\mathrm{n}=11)$, collected in Upper Carmanah valley were found almost entirely in the clear cut ( 55 of 61 specimens or $90.2 \%$ ). Three males of $O$. notatus $(6.0 \%)$ were collected in the canopy and four females of $O$. vulgatus ( $36.4 \%$ ) were collected in the transition zone. Both these species are found mainly in open habitats, and are almost certainly European introductions. Their presence in a small clear cut area surrounded by ancient forest shows that they (and their hosts) are very capable of dispersing widely, and perhaps quickly, into suitable habitats.

Barcoding. Four specimens yielded barcodes (CNCHYM07499, CNCHYM07501, CNCHYM07502, and CNCHYM07503), showing slight variation between the Oregon and British Columbia specimens.

Material examined. $108 q$ and $261 \delta^{\lambda}$. CANADA. British Columbia. Upper Carmanah Valley, 18.viii-9.ix, 10-29.ix CNCHYM07499, 30.ix-16.x.1991, 31-39m [1 ठ at 42 m on 21.ix] up in tree canopy, N. Winchester, MT (103q, $259{ }^{\top}$, CFS-Victoria, CNC); Cassiar hwy. Boyar Lake to Stikine River, 6.viii.1988, S. \& J. Peck, boreal forest, car net, CNCHYM07525 [barcode failed] ( $\left.2 \uparrow, 1{ }^{\top}, \mathrm{CNC}\right)$. USA. California. Monterey Co.: Pfeiffer Big Sur State Park, 1.iv.1985, J.T. Huber, sweeping grasses and vegetation, CNCHYM07500 [barcode failed] (1才, CNC). Oregon. Benton Co.: Corvallis, 8.x.1980, H.K. Townes ( $1 \widehat{\sigma}^{\lambda}$, AElC). Lincoln Co.: 2 mi W. Newport, South Beach State Park, 23.viii.1984, M.E. Schauff, P. Hanson, ocean side vegetation, sweeping, CNCHYM07501, CNCHYM07502, CNCHYM07503 (3q, USNM).

An additional 6 females from South Beach State Park are doubtfully assigned to O. fuscipes because they have 2 mps on each of $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$; four mates from this locality and date are also doubtfully assigned to this species.

## Ooctoms hemipterus Haliday

(Figs. 1. 31, 43, 52, 62, 68, 81, 92, 105, 108, 130, 146-149, 164, 172, 188)

Ooctonus hemipterus Haliday, 1833: 344; Schmiedeknecht, 1909: 490 (catalogue); Kloet and Hincks, 1945: 305 (checklist, Britain); Kryger, 1950: 78 (list); Bouček and Graham, 1978: 109 (checklist, Britain); Kalina 1989: 127 (checklist, Czechoslovakia); Triapitsyn 2010: 15 (redescription, literature), 18 (records from Canada and USA).

Ooctomus atroclavus Kieffer, 1913: 2; Bouček and Graham, 1978: 109 (checklist, under hemipterus).

Ooctonus soykai Hincks, 1952: 154 (key), 160 (description); Bouček, 1977: 122 (list, Yugoslavia); Kalina, 1989: 127 (checklist, Czechoslovakia).

Type material. Lectotype $q$ of $O$. hemipterus in NMID (not examined), designated by Hincks 1952: 158. Synonyms and their types treated by Triapitsyn (2010).

Diagnosis. Ooctoms hemipterus is distinguished from other Nearctic species by the entire head and mesosoma (including mesopleuron, prepectus, and all propodeal areoles) covered with reticulate sculpture, thus appearing matte (Figs. 92, 105, 108, 130). Other unusual features are the toruli well separated from the transverse trabecula (Fig. 31), large eye (Fig. 92) (also large in O. silvensis, O. hemipterus, and O. occidentalis), very low (almost absent) transverse carina dorsally on pronotum, weakly defined femoral depression (mesopleural and transepimeral sutures almost absent) and absence of propodeal stub (also absent in $O$. occidentalis). All other Nearctic Ooctonus species have the sides of the mesosoma and the propodeal areoles smooth or almost so, thus appearing quite shiny, and the other features are mostly different. Ooctonus hemipterns is most similar to O. capensis Huber from South Africa (Huber et al. 2010) but the latter has the propodeum with a stub and the plica is bifurcate at the propodeum, with a long median and short lateral arm (stub absent and plica usually not bifurcate in $O$. hemipterus).

Ooctomus hemipterus is clearly unrelated to the Nearctic species, as might be expected from an Old World introduction. It is also the only Ooctonus species whose females may be macropterous, brachypterous or micropterous (Figs. 1, 146-148). Macropterous specimens have a relatively narrow fore wing, in females with the apex slightly truncated apically (Fig. 146) and in males definitely rounded apically (Fig. 149). Both sexes of other species have the forewing relatively wider and more clearly truncated apically.

Description. Female. Body length 922-1075 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Head and mesosoma dark brown, matte, entirely covered with reticulate sculpture; scape except radicle, and pedicel light brown, flagellum brown, petiole, and legs yellow. Forewing with faint brown band just beyond venation and sometimes a faint brown suffusion in apical half of wing beyond venation (Fig. 146). Head. Head (Fig. 31) width 287-320 (n=5). Vertex with stemmaticum (Figs. 43, 52). Mid ocellus diameter at most 17. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 68) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}, 2$ on $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios: $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 1.78-2.33, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 1.76-1.90, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 1.82-$ $2.05, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 1.63-2.08, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 1.53-2.04, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.52-1.73$; clava $2.75-3.02 \times$ as long as wide, almost as
long as $\mathrm{fl}_{5}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape $183-195 / 31-35$, pedicel $56-61 / 29-32, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 30-35 / 15-17, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 27-29 / 15-17, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 31-34 / 16-18, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 30-32 / 15-19, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 30-$ $37 / 18-20, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 34-37 / 21-22, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 44-47 / 27-31, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 47-50 / 34-36$, clava 154-161/53-56. Total flagellum Iength 423-454. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 105, 108) with collar moderately wide, short but visible in dorsal view, without carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 105, 108) wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes strongly raised; scutcllar seta short, cxtending to medially almost straight frenal line; frenum about $0.66 \times$ mesoscutcllum length and entircly reticulate. Metanotum with metascutellum and lateral lobes reticulate. Propodcum (Fig. 130) entirely covered with reticulate sculpture and its anterior margin without a stub; median areole separated from metascutellum by a median carina (carina sometimes almost absent); plica straight, extending to anterior margin of propodeum, occasionally bifurcate with a short median arm. Wings. Fore wing in macropterous form (Fig. 146) fairly narrow, slightly truncate apically, and with a transverse brown band just beyond venation, in brachypterous or micropterous forms with narrow fore wing rounded apically and with venation greater than half wing length (Figs. 147, 148). Length (macropterous form) 926-1004 ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ), width $279-313$, length/width $3.21-3.38$, longest marginal setae $68-83$, about $0.25 \times$ as long as greatest wing width. Hind wing length 752-849, width 32-38, longest marginal setae 93113. Marginal vein length 123-129. Metasoma. Petiole (Fig. 188) reticulate dorsally, about as long as metacoxa. Gaster (Fig. 164, 172, 188) with ovipositor length $406-434$ ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) $1.12-1.14 \times$ as long as metatibia ( $350-374$ ).

Male. Body length 896-1178 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Antenna (Fig. 81). Measurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ : scape $153-184$, pedicel $26-36, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 65-75, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 62-83, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 62-86, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 69-89, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 75-87$, $\mathrm{fl}_{6} 71-89, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 81-93, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 78-89, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 81-97, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 73-89, \mathrm{fl}_{11} 74-86$. Total flagellar length $1049-$ 1067. $\mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width $3.38-4.27$, with 4 (?5) mps. Forewing (Fig. 149) narrower, length/ width $3.86-4.10$, and with more rounded apex. Mid ocellus diameter 24-26.

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Habitats vary widely. Specimens were collected near water, in water with Typha or Carex, agricultural areas such as blueberry, onion, alfalfa, clover, and potato fields, apple orchards, prairie remnants, fields, forests, bush, meadows, bogs, sandy areas, urban gardens, cedar swamps, and beach. Several specimens were sifted from duff or litter, including two males (Oxford Mills) in early December, suggesting that they overwinter as adults.

Barcoding. Three of four specimens yielded barcodes (CNCHYM07476, CNCHYM07477, and CNCHYM07478) and one did not (CNCHYM07475). There was slight variation in DNA between the two specimens from Ontario but none between the Quebec specimen and one of the Ontario specimens.

Distribution. Ooctonus hemipterus occurs across the Palaearctic region from Ireland to the Kuril Islands (Triapitsyn 2010). In the Nearctic region its presence in Alaska and northern Canada and and apparent absence from southern USA suggests it may be a naturally occurring Holarctic species though it could also have been introduced from Europe into eastern North America by human activity.

Material examined． 183 and 330 CANADA．British Columbia．Abbotsford，Aquilini off Ladner road，Pitt Meadows， $49^{\circ} 17.996^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 122^{\circ} 37.865^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 2$. vii．2001，M．Robertson， blueberry field．YPT（ $4_{+}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ），Vye road， $49^{\circ} 00.847^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 122^{\circ} 15.479^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 7 . v i i i, 4 . i x .2001$ ， M．Robertson，blucberry field，YPT（ $27 . \mathrm{CNC}$ ）；Richmond，No． 6 road， $49^{\circ} 09.481^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 123^{\circ}$ 04．188＇W．2．vii．2001．blueberry field，YPT（ $17, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）．Manitoba．Elma， 2 mi ．E．on hwy 11，31．vii．2000，M．Iranpour，pond，YPT（1，CNC）．New Brunswick．Albert Co．：Fundy National Park，Chignecto campsite， $45.6032^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 64.9877^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ，12．viii．2009，J．Fernández，（2 ${ }^{\top}$ ， CNC）．Kent Co．：Kouchibouguac National Park，18．v．1977，G．A．P．Gibson（1 ，CNC）， 29 and 30．viii，and 12．ix．1977，G．A．Calderwood（ 3 microp．$+1 \neq 2$ ，CNC）， 20 and 21. ix．1977，S．J．Miller（5 ，12，CNC）．Queen＇s Co．： 25 km W．Canaan Forks，hwy．112， 19．viii．1984，M．Kaulbars（ $2{ }^{\circ}$ ，CNC）．Northwest Territories．Kovaluk River， $69^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $131^{\circ}$ W，6－10．vii．1971，W．R．M．Mason（2才，CNC）．Nova Scotia．Kings Co．：Kentville， 6. xi．1953，C．J．S．Fox，Berlese，apple orchard duff（ 1 microp．7．CNC）；Sheffield Mills， orchard，31．viii．1998，20．viii．1999，M．Trombley，YPT（3 microp． 7.6 ，CNC），Lunenburg Co．：North Sawler， $31 . v i i i .1951$ ，K．H．Sanford（1，CNC）．Victoria Co．： 5 km S．Ingonish， Smoke Mt．，275m，23．viii．1984，M．Kaulbars（1才，CNC）．Ontario．Alfred Bog，13．vii．1981， L．LeSage（17，CNC）； 3 km N．Almonte，12－19．viii－1986，J．Denis，L．Dumouchel，YPT （1．CNC）： 3 km N．Almonte，12－19．viii，17－24．ix．1986，J．Denis，L．Dumouchel，YPT（4 CNC）， 5 km NW．Almonte，22－29．vii．1986，H．Goulet（3，CNC）；Ancaster，3－15．x．1994， H．Goulet，prairie remnant（ $33^{\circ}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）；near Ancaster， $43^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 80^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 . v i-1 . v i i, 7-28$. vii．1995，B．DeJonge，bush／prairie，MT（1中，1 ，CNC）；Buckham＇s Bay， $45.4985^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ $76.1108^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, v－x．2010，J．Read，CNCHYM07478（1 ．CNC）； 7 mi ．SW．Carleton Place，S． Miller＇s farm，27．v－2．vi． 1980 （2，CNC），viii－ix，1－30．x．1991，YPT in pond with Carex （ 33 ，CNC）；Chatterton， 13 mi ．N．Belleville，27．x． 1969 ，C．D．Dondale，meadow（ 1 microp．$q$ ， CNC）；near Clayton，12．viii．1981，L．Masner，H．Goulet，forest，sweeping（1q，CNC）； Crieff Bog， 3 km W．Puslinch，28．v－4．vi．1987，D．Blades，mid－forest pool，YPT（ 2 microp．$\%$ ， CNC）：Constance Bay，12－19．x．1973，G．Gibson，YPT（3 CNC），26．viii－7．ix．1983，M． Sanborne（1q，CNC）；Elmira，Salem Creek，1－31．viii．1977，L．LeSage（20，CNC）；near Embro， $43^{\circ} 12.362^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 80^{\circ} 55.713^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 7-21 . i x .2006$ ，B．Broadbent，pitfall under spruce hedgerow near alfalfa field（ 2 microp． 9 ，CNC）；Flint Hill，near Kemptville，30．viii－6． ix．1983，L．Dumouchel（ 1 microp． 7 ，CNC）；Gananoque，24．viii－12．x． 1977 （7 microp．$\%$ ， 50 ，CNC）； 18 km E．Gananoque，12．v－9．vi．1977，C．Dondale，J．Redner，pitfall in old field （ 10 microp．．．CNC）；Gloucester，4－17．x．1984，M．Sanborne（3，CNC）；Guelph，1－20． vii．1982，K．N．Barber（2 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ，CNC）；Hamilton， 6 and 18．viii．1980，2－7．viii，14－22．viii， 28. vii－1．viii，31．viii－7．ix，25．x－8．xi，30．ix－11．x．1981，M．Sanborne（1 microp．, 49.93 ，CNC）； Innisville，29．vii．1963，（1 ，CNC）；Leitrim，16－23．ix，13－20．x．1985，R．E．Skidmore，MT （ 3 ，CNC）；London．1－17．ix．1982，A．Tomlin，pine hedgerow（17，CNC），Fanshawe Experimental Farm，10－31．vii，5．ix－2．x．1981，4－25．viii，31．ix．，8－12．x，5．xi．1982，A．Tomlin， onion field（ 27,63 ，CNC），5－30．vii．1982，L．Masner（ 17, CNC）；Marmora，Crow Lake， 20．viii．1975，L．Masner，R．Longair（23，CNC）；Milton，8－13．viii．1981，M．Sanborne（19， 15，CNC）；Nepean，Pine Glen，3－10，12－14 and 22－26．x．1991，16．viii－7．ix．1992，24－ 30．x．1994，27－30．vii．1999．L．Masner（4 microp． 2 ， 3 ，CNC），Slack Road，2．x， 4－5．x．2007， 1 and 6－9．xi．1999，L．Masner，YPT，sandy area（5 ${ }^{\text {º }}$ ，CNC）；Ottawa，23－30． ix．1985，H．Goulet，garden（1才，CNC），20－27．ix．2008，L．Masner，MT，CNCHYM07476 （17，CNC），30．x．1988，1－3．ix．1989， 10 and 27．x．1992，20．x．1995，J．R．Vockeroth，Typha on
pond／YPT among Typha（ 14 microp． $4,4, \mathrm{CNC}$ ），airport，20－27．ix．1985，L．Dumouchel， YPT（3 ${ }^{\hat{}}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ），airport road，1－8．xi．1985，L．Masner，（1－ CNC ）；airport sands，11－18． ix．1985，J．Denis（26，CNC），23－30．ix，1－16．x，17．x－7．xi．1985，20．vii－10．viii，26．viii－7． ix．1986，20－31．vii，14－21．viii，19．ix－8．xi，20－27．ix，27－30．x．1987，17－20．vii，5－8．x，10－ 13．x．2008，H．Goulet，city／urban garden， $45^{\circ} 21.365^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 75^{\circ} 42.416^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ，YPT and MT（11 microp． 9,1 ， 53 ，CNC），Jock River，4－5．x．2007，L．Masner（4，CNC），Mer Bleue，16－ 23．viii．1982，H．Goulet（1 $~$ ，CNC），2．x．2000，L．Masner，sweep（ 1 microp． 7,2 ，CNC）， along Ottawa River shoreline，19－20．ix．2007，L．Masner（ $1 \not \subset$ ，CNC），Riverfront Park， 13. ix．2000，L．Masner，YPT（1 ，CNC）；Rockland Park，9．xi．2005，YPT under Erigeron，J．R． Vockeroth（ 1 microp． 7,4 ，CNC），Tanglewood road，14．ix－2．x．1978，L．Masner，YPT（ 1 ， CNC）：Oxford Mills，edge of Little Rideau River，17．viii．1971，3－10，10－17 and 17－24．viii， 7－21．ix，21－28．ix，28．ix－12．x．1973，L．Masner，YPT（ 22 microp． 7,2 ， 17 ，CNC）， 4. xii．1973，sifted from litter，L．Masner（ 2 ，CNC）， 17 and 21．viii．1978，N．Tulsiram（3 microp． $9,7 \widehat{ }$ ，CNC），31．viii，22．ix－3．x．1978，G．Gibson，YPT（4 microp．${ }^{\circ}, 6{ }^{\top}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）； Point Pelee，22．viii．1984，A．Borkent（ 1 ，CNC）：Saugeen Bluffs Conservation Area，near Paisley，19．v－16．vi．1988．C．Dondale，J．Redner，pitfall trap in cedar swamp（1 microp． ， CNC）；Shaw Forest， 10 km N．Eganville，edge of beaver pond，6－13．viii．1992，M．J．Sharkey （ 1 q， $10^{\lambda}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）； 5 km E．Eganville，30．vi．1992，H．Goulet（1q，CNC），1－8．x．1992，M． Sharkey，MT（10，CNC）；Shirley＇s Bay， 15 km W．Ottawa，16－31．vii．1984，M．Kaulbars（ 1 microp． q．$^{\text {® }}$ ，CNC），12－19．viii，29．vii－5．viii．1985，M．Sanborne，L．Leblanc（4․ 2 ． CNC），7－14．viii．1985，M．Sanborne，L．Dumouchel，（4q，CNC），3－10．x．1985，J．Denis（ 1
 Spencerville，14－21．viii．1979，L．Masner（ 1 t，CNC）；St．Lawrence Islands National Park， summer， 1975 （ $3{ }^{\lambda}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ），Grenadier 1sland，16－30．viii．1994，CNC Hym．team，Carya grove，YPT（1 microp． 9, CNC），Grenadier 1sland Centre，30．vii，7．viii．1975，E．Sigler（4 CNC）；McDonald 1sland， 9 and 11．ix，1，4，and 6．x．1976，W．Reid（42 ${ }^{7}$ ，CNC），Thwartway 1sland，30．viii．1976，Reid（1才，CNC）；Stittsville，24．ix．1976，M．Sanborne（1才，CNC），29－
 intercept trap／sweeping，M．Kaulbars，CNCHYM07475［barcode failed］（1 microp．$q$ ，13q， $12{ }^{2}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）；Toronto，Etobicoke，Etienne Brulé Park，17－19．viii．1998，S．Libenson，mixed forest，deadwood（1q，CNC）；Waterloo，4．ix．1977，L．LeSage，MT（ 1 q，CNC）．Quebec． 6 km N．Bouchette，Lac Roddick（＝Lac Ronde）， $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 21.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 75^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 44.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ，18．．ix， 1－3．x．2005，L．Masner，YPT（2才，CNC），27－29．vii．2001，L．Masner，YPT（1 З，CNC），24－ 25．ix．2007，L．Masner，riverine（ $10^{\lambda}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）， $15-17 . v i i i .2009$ ，L．Masner，YPT（ $19.10^{\lambda}$ ， CNC）；Eardley，junction hwy 148 \＆Eardley－Masham road，2－20．viii．1992，CNC Hym． team（19，CNC）；Gatineau Park，4．x．1976，G．Gibson，L．Masner（5 h，CNC），11－20． viii．1991，K．Yamagishi，YPT（ 2 microp． $7, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）， 2 km W．chemin Pilon，23－29．ix，21－ 27．x．1992，CNC Hym．team（ 1 microp．${ }^{\text {，}} 1 \mathrm{~J}^{\top}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ），summit of King Mt．，1150＇，16．x．1968， J．F．McAlpine（ $1 \delta$, CNC），10．x．1961，J．R．Vockeroth（ $1 \AA^{\AA}, ~ C N C$ ），23．viii．1981，L．Masner （1 §，CNC）；Hull（＝Gatineau），23．viii，19．x．1983，L．Dumouchel，flood forest，flood plain， YPT（1 microp． ， 1 ， $1 \delta^{\wedge}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ），boulevard Fournier，28．viii－4．ix．1984，J．Denis，L． Dumouchel（ 1 microp．\％，CNC）；Luskville Falls，300m，22－29．vii，5－12．viii，17－25．ix．1986， J．Denis，L．Dumouchel，MT（4＋，3 3 ，CNC），25．viii－2．ix．1986，YPT in blueberry field in oak－conifer forest clearing，J．Denis，L．Dumouchel，YPT（ $1 \delta, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）， 1 km N．La Corne， 22．vi． $2005,48^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 19.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 78^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 11.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ，H．Goulet，C．Boudreault，fallow field by road，

CNCHYM07477（2，CNC）；Old Chelsea，3．ix．1958，S．M．Clark（1，CNC）．Prince Edward Island．Quecns Co．：Brackley Beach near Stanope 17．viii．1988，C．M．Yoshimoto， sweeping（ 3 ，CNC）；1larrington，10－22 and 22－29．viii．1988，7－21 and 23．vii－28．viii．1989， M．E．M．Smith，potato field，YPT（ 1 microp． $7,6.8$ ，CNC），7．ix． 2006 ，pitfall trap in potato ficld（ $10, \mathrm{CNC}$ ），22．viii and 5．ix．2006，pitfall trap barley／red clover field and clover field（2 microp．7．CNC）．Saskatehewan．S．of Moosomin Piperstone Creek， $570 \mathrm{~m}, 50^{\circ}$ $01.97^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 101^{\circ} 40.61^{\prime}$ W，H．Goulct．C．Boudreault，J．Fernández，prairie（ 1 t，CNC）．USA． Alaska．Cantwell，Denali hwy，route 8，mi．85－130，24．vii．1984，S．\＆J．Peck，taiga－tundra， car netting（ $1 \delta^{\top}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）；Murphy Dome， $64^{\circ} 57.157^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 148^{\circ} 21.331^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 892 \mathrm{~m}, 20 . \mathrm{vii} .2009$ ，H． Goulct，C．Boudreault，tundra（2§，CNC）；Tok，21．vi－15．vii．1984，S．\＆J．Peck，FIT（1才， CNC）．Massachussetts．Barnstable Co．：Cape Cod．16．viii．1977，W．A．Attwater（1q microp．，CNC）Eastham，23．ix．1992，J．R．Vockeroth（1，CNC）．Hampden Co．：Westfield， 30．ix．1992，J．R．Vockeroth，pan traps in Typha（1，CNC）．Michigan．Livingston Co．： Edwin S．George Res．，13．ix．1973，G．Gibson（1 ${ }^{\text {T，CNC）New Hampshire．Coos Co．：} 8}$ km S．Gorham，30．viii．1984，M．Kaulbars（1 ，CNC）；Mt．Washington，1370m，9－13． ［month illegible］．1983，L．LeSage，E．Rickey，litter（1q，CNC）．New York．Essex Co．： 10 mi．SE．Lake Placid，Adirondack Park，Heart Lake，17－18．viii．1987，J．Cumming（1 $\%$ microp．，CNC）．Dutchess Co．：Amenia，7－12．ix．1982，（1才，CNC）．Tompkins Co．：1thaca，N． shore of Beebe Lake，20－26．vii，24－31．vii，and 2．viii．1977，N．F．Johnson，yellow pan traps （ 8 ，CNC），lthaca，14－21．vii．1978，N．F．Johnson（5才，CNC）．North Carolina．Jackson Co．：near Highlands，Whiteside Mt．， 1600 m ，iv，－20．vii．oak forest，Malaise trap，CNC Hym． Team（13，CNC）．Wisconsin．Milwatkee Co．：Milwaukee，Bayside，corner of Brown Deer \＆N．Rexleigh roads，2．ix．1983，J．T．Huber（20，CNC）．

## Ooctonits longipetiolus Huber，sp．n．

（Figs．9，21，32，53，69，82，93，109，112，131，150，165，173，184）
Type material．Holotype $q$ in CNC，on slide（Fig．21）labelled 1．＂Canada：ON， Nepean，Slack Road，9．xi．1999，sands，L．Masner，YPT＂．2．＂Ooctonus longipetiolus Huber Holotype q dorsal＂．

Paratypes． $59 q$ and 263．CANADA．Ontario．Ancaster，30．ix－12．x．1991，marsh， B．DeJonge（10，CNC），4－11．vi，and 25．vi－1．vii，B．DeJonge，prairie remnant（4ㅇ， 10 ， CNC）；near Ancaster， $43^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 80^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 7-28 . v i i .1995$ ，B．DeJonge，bush／prairie（1 7 ． CNC），27．v－4．vi．1994，B．DeJonge，prairie，MT（1q，CNC）；Constance Bay［near Ottawa］， 22－28．ix．1973，G．Gibson，PT（19，CNC）；Hamilton，2－7．viii．1980，M．Sanborne，MT （29，CNC），14－19．vii．1981，CNCHYM07515［barcode failed］，M．Sanborne（10，CNC）； London，1－17．ix．1982，A．Tomlin，pine hedgerow（1q，CNC）；Malacoff，22－28．vii．1985， R．Foottit，YPT（ $1 申, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）；Ottawa，same data as holotype（ $7 \uparrow, 2 \hat{q}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ），same data as holotype but 1．xi and 6－9．xi． 1999 （79，1ठ，CNC）；Shaw Forest near Eganville，27．viii－3． ix．1992，M．Sharkey，MT（1才，CNC）；Shirley＇s Bay［E．of Ottawa］，27．viii－10．ix．1985，M． Sanborne．H．Goulet（ 1 q．CNC）；Thunder Bay，Law Road，Powell Lakes，16．viii．1980， M．Kaulbars（20，CNC）．Quebec．Cap Rouge［near Quebec City］，3．viii．1955，O．Peck （17，CNC）；Luskville Falls top，Gatineau Park，11．ix．1985，H．Goulet（1O，CNC）；Mont Pinnacle，near Frelighsburg，20．vi．1991，M．Sharkey（1才，CNC）．Prince Edward Island． Millvale，30．viii．1992，J．Heraty，deciduous forest along river（1 $\%$ ，UCRC）； 1 km SE．

Stanley Bridge, 30.viii. 1992, J. Heraty, scrub/goldenrod/apple (I CNC). USA. Florida. Boker Co.: Glen St. Mary, $30^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 82^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ W, 14.xii.2006, 22.iii, 3.v, 14.vi.2007, E. Zoll, S. Fullerton, MT, rural yard/mixed woods (27, 2才, UCFC). /lighlands Co.: Archbold Biological Station, 29.v.1991, J.B. Woolley (1 , CNC). Mcrion Co.: Ocala National Forest, Alexander Spring, 18.ix.1987, L. Masner, hardwood forest, sweep (17, 4, CNC). Ormge Co.: Apopka, Rocksprings, Kelly Park, 7.iii.1975, W.R.M. Mason (2 , $10^{7}$, CNC). Seminole Co.: Longwood, 4-8.iii.1975, W.R.M. Mason (1才, CNC). Maine. Washington Co.: 5 km E. Wesley, hwy 9 at East Machias road, 29.viii.1984, M. Kaulbars (Iq, CNC). Maryland. Montgomery Co.: Potomac River Trail, mouth of Seneca Creek, 16.vi.1985, L. Masner, undergrowth by old canal (1 , CNC). Prince Georges Co.: 2 mi. S. Laurel, 18-30.vi.1986, M.E. Schauff ( 1 , USNM) : Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, 22.vi.1980, G. Gibson (1q, 1ठ̃, CNC). Missouri. Wayne Co.: Williamsville, 21.x-11.xi.1987, J.T. Becker, MT (1q, CNC). North Carolina. Jackson Co.: Whiteside Mountain, near Highlands, 1600 m , iv-20. vi.1987, MT, oak forest, CNC Hymenoptera team (1q, CNC). South Carolina. Dorchester Co.: Francis Beidler Forest near Harleyville, 1-22.ix.1987, L. Masner (3ч, 1 §, CNC). Virginia. Clarke Co.: 2 mi . S. Boyce, University of Virginia, Blandy Experimental Farm, 19-30.vi.1990, D.R. Smith, MT ( $20^{\top}$, USNM). Hardy Co.: 3 mi . NE. Mathias, $38^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $78^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 17 . \mathrm{iv-3.v}, 17 . v-3 . v i, 4-17 . v i, 18 . v i-18 . v i i ~ C N C H Y M 07516$, 22.vii-13.ix, 10-30. viii.2002, D.R. Smith, MT (11q, 2 ${ }^{\top}$, CNC, UCR). Louisa Co.: 4 mi . S. Cuckoo, 26.vi-5. vii.1987, 15.ix-7.x.1988, J. Kloke, D.R. Smith, MT (2q, USNM). Page Co.: Shenandoah National Park, Big Meadows, 14.vi.1982, H. Goulet, sweeping various plant communities in meadow ( $1 \uparrow, \mathrm{CNC}$ ).

Diagnosis. Ooctomus longipetiolus is distinguished from other Nearctic species by the combination of vertex with stemmaticum (Fig. 53), mesoscutum with median longitudinal groove usually extending almost length of midlobe (Fig. 109, 112), transepimeral suture not joining mesopleural suture dorsally, so femoral depression open above (Fig. 93), propodeum with pentagonal areole separated from metascutellum by a median carina about as long as areole and plica bifurcate with long arms (Fig. 131), and gastral petiole longer than metacoxa + metatrochanter (Fig. 165); $\mathrm{fl}_{4}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ each with 2 (rarely 1 on $\mathrm{fl}_{4}$ ) mps , clava relatively long and funicle segments relatively short (Fig. 69).

Ooctonus longipetiolus is similar to $O$. canadensis in that both share most of the above features. They differ in antennal proportions: $O$. canadensis has relatively longer funicle segments and a relatively shorter clava that $O$. longipetiolus, and in head shape: shorter and wider in O. longipetiolus (Fig. 32) compared to O. canordensis (Fig. 29). Ooctonus longipetiolus is also remarkably similar to O. sublaevis Förster from Europe, which seems to have all but one of the diagnostic features-the median longitudinal groove on the mesoscutal midlobe is absent (Triapitsyn 2010), and the body is smaller (the femoral groove and sutures were not described). Five other Ooctomis species in the Palaearctic and Oriental regions have a long longitudinal groove on the mesoscutal midlobe (Triapitsyn 2010). Two specimens from Alabama and Florida (UCRC) have a larger pentagonal areole and shorter gastral petiole so are not included in the type series. Whether they represent variants of $O$. longipetiolus or a different species is uncertain.

Derivation of specific epithet. The name refers to the unusually long gastral petiole.

Description. Female. Body length 1050-1382 (n=20). Head and mesosoma dark brown, gaster and flagellum except $\mathrm{fl}_{1}$ brown, pedicel dorsally and procoxa light brown; scape, pedicel except dorsally, $\mathrm{fl}_{1}$, and legs except procoxa uniformly bright yellow to mainly brown (except joints), especially on metafemur and metatibia; petiole even ligher yellow than legs. Head. Hcad (Fig. 32) width ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) 337-344. Vertex (Fig. 53-male) with stemmaticum. Mid ocellus diameter 27-31. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 69) with 2 (occasionally 1) mps on $\mathrm{ff}_{4}, 2$ mps on each of $\mathrm{fl}_{5}-\mathrm{ff}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{4}$ slightly the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios: $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 2.66-4.09, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 3.03-3.81, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 2.73-3.24, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 2.57-3.30, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 2.11-3.06$, $\mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.82-2.89$; clava $3.75-5.52 \times$ as long as wide, very slightly shorter than $\mathrm{f}_{6}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape 216-224/31-36, pedicel 61-65/33-38, flı $62-$ $72 / 18-24, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 68-77 / 20-24, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 67-77 / 21-25, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 72-83 / 24-29, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 70-80 / 26-34, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 66-$ 79/27-36, fl $68-77 / 29-35, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 67-77 / 29-38$, clava 197-228/41-52. Total flagellum length 735-850. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 109, 112) with collar wide, long and clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 109, 112) wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved and with longitudinal median groove; scutellar setae long, extending well beyond medially slightly concave anterior margin of frenum; frenum short, at most $0.60 \times$ mesoscutellum length and entirely reticulate. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 131-male) smooth between carinae and its anterior margin with a stub just lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole short, about as long as wide, separated from metascutellum by a long median carina extending to metascutellum; dorsolateral areole with a thin, broken carina close to and parallel with anterior and median margin; plicae straight, not extending to metascutellum and widely bifurcate anteriorly as two long lateral arms, the lateral one longer than the median one. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 150) length 1310-1405, width 461-514. length/width 2.61-2.85. longest marginal setae 67-81, about $0.18 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Hind wing (Fig. 150) length 935-1011, width 50-67, longest marginal setae 80-94. Metasoma. Petiole long (Fig. 165), extending distinctly beyond apex of metacoxa + metatrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 165, 173) with ovipositor length ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) 313-343, 0.68-0.72× as long as metatibia (461-480).

Male. Body length. 998-1408 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Entire flagellum brown. Antenna (Fig. 82). Measurements ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ): scape $132-172$, pedicel $48-54$, $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 90-122, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 101-139, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 99-135$, $\mathrm{fl}_{4} 98-129, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 97-129, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 98-129, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 100-130, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 100-128, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 101-128, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 101-121, \mathrm{fl}_{11}$ 97-121. Total flagellar length $1090-1412 . \mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width $2.85-3.21$, with 9 or 10 mps . Mid ocellus diameter 31-33. Genitalia fairly narrow for anterior half of length (Fig. 184).

Barcoding. Two of three specimens yielded barcodes (CNCHYM07514-Ontario, CNCHYM07516-Virginia); the third did not (CNCHYM07515-Ontario). Based on the DNA two species are involved. Upon rechecking the Ontario specimen I realized 1 had misidentified the Ontario specimen (it is much smaller than $O$. longipetiolus specimens). It does not fit the other described species so it is placed under Unassigned species (below).

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. The habitats are varied: marsh, prairie remnant, bush/prairie, pine hedgerow, oak forest, undergrowth by old canal, plant communities in meadow.

## Ooctonus notatus Walker

(Figs. 33, 44, 54, 55, 70, 83, 110, 113, 132, 151, 166, 174)
Ooctomus notatus; Walker, 1846: 50; Dalla Torre, 1898: 430 (cataloguc); Schmicdeknecht, 1909: 490 (cataloguc); Kloet and Hincks, 1945: 305 (checklist, Britain); Triapitsyn 2010: 28 (redescription, literature), 30 (records from USA).
Ooctomus heterotomus Focrster, 1847: 201; Kalina 1989: 127 (checklist, Czechoslovakia). Synonymy under O. notatus by Graham, 1982: 226.
Ooctonus auripes Whittaker, 1931: 190 (in part); Triapitsyn, 2010: 28 (see also under $O$. vulgatus).

Type material. Lectotype $\mp$ of $O$. notaths Walker in NMID (not examined), designated by Graham 1982: 226. Synonyms and their types treated by Triapitsyn (2010).

Paralectotype. One $q$ of $O$. aluripes in USNM (examined), on card and mounted in same manner as lectotype of O. auripes and labelled 1. "Paratype". 2. "Paratype No. 43553 U.S.N.M.." 3. "Chilliwack, B.C. 4.IX. 26 Coll. O.W.". 4. "Ooctonus auripes Whitt. \& Det. O. Whittaker". 5. "= Ooctonus notatus Walker Det. S.V. Triapitsyn 2008". The paralectotype is mounted in the same way as the lectotype but the right antenna is missing $\mathrm{fl}_{6-8}$ and the left antenna is missing the apical half of the clava. 1 agree with Triapitsyn (2010) that the paralectotype is a specimen of $O$. notatus. However, the lectotype of $O$. anripes (BMNH) belongs to $O$. vulgatus (see below under $O$. vulgatus).

Diagnosis. Ooctomus notatus is distinguished from other Nearctic species by the combination of body length less than $1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ distinctly shorter and narrower than $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$, and without mps , mesoscutellum entirely reticulate, and propodeum with median areole joined directly to propodeum.

Ooctonus notatus is most similar to O. silvensis and $O$. vulgatus. All are small species with a short pronotum and gaster and without mps on $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{fl}_{4}$. Ooctonus notatus is distinguished from these and perhaps other Nearctic species by the presence of 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}, \mathrm{fl}_{7}$, and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ (Fig. 70) ( $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ without mps in $O$. silvensis and $O$. vulgatus), the frenum with reticulation over the entire surface (Fig. 110, 113) (smooth or almost so medially in O. silvensis and $O$. vulgatus), and propodeum with a large median areole not separated from metascutellum (Fig. 132). A median areole joined anteriorly directly to the propodeal margin also occurs in $O$. vulgatus but $O$. vulgatus has a shorter marginal vein.
Description. Female. Body length 742-922 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Head and mesosoma dark brown, metasoma brown, sometimes reddish brown; radicle, scape and pedicel laterally and petiole yellow; legs except apical tarsomere of each leg usually uniform light yellow, rarely with slight brown infuscation on femora and tibiae. Head. Head (Fig. 33) width 277-287 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ). Vertex (Fig. 44, 55-male) without stemmaticum. Mid ocellus diameter about 19. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 70) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}, \mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{5}$ the longest segment; $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ : $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 2.49-2.90, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 2.23-2.59, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 2.17-$ $2.66, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 1.84-2.17, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 1.89-1.90, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.58-1.94$; clava $2.76-3.52 \times$ as long as wide, slightly shorter than $\mathrm{fl}_{4}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width: scape 173-179/31-32, pedicel $56-57 / 31-32, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 44-46 / 16-18, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 40-43 / 17-18, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 42-47 / 18-20, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 35-38 / 17-19$, $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ $53-56 / 27-28, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 36 / 19-23, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 49-53 / 24-30, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 46-47 / 32-35$, clava $161-168 / 47-59$. Total

Hagellum length 500-534. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 110, 113) wide, with collar short and scarcely visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 110, 113) very widc; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes raised anteriorly, engraved posteriorly; scutellar seta short, extending just posterior to medially slightly concave frenal line; frenum about $0.79 \times$ mesoscutellum length, and entirely reticulate. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 132) smooth between carinae and its anterior margin with stub just lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole joined to metascutellum by united carinae of dorsolateral areoles; plica straight, not (or scarcely) bifurcate anteriorly, extending to apex of stub. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 151) length 911-939, width 329-350, length/width 2.68-2.80, longest marginal setae $52-60$, about $0.17 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $n=3$ ). Hind wing (Fig. 151) length 689-710, width 38-41, longest marginal setae 79-101. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa + metatrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 166, 174) with ovipositor length $376-442(n=3) 1.15-1.29 \times$ as long as metatibia (326-335).

Male. Body length 845-922 ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ). Legs mainly brown. Antenna (Fig. 83). Measurements, length ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ): scape 143-159, pedicel 50-56, fl, 76-85, fl $89-91$, fl $89-92$, $\mathrm{fl}_{4} 90-92, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 91-93, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 86-89, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 75-93, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 92-93, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 91-92, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 87-95, \mathrm{fl}_{11} 87-98$. Total flagellar length $959-1007 . \mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width $3.31-3.33$ and with 6 mps . Mid ocellus diameter 24.

Barcoding. Three of five specimens yielded barcodes (CNCHYM07459, CNCHYM07461, and CNCHYM07462) and two did not (CNCHYM07460 and CNCHYM07463). There was little variation among the specimens despite the geographic range covered (Alberta, Ontario, and Prince Edward Island.)

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Specimens have been collected in a fallow field, old field, turnip [rutabaga] field, potato field, and orchard. Some specimens may have come from more natural habitats (less disturbed by mankind).

Material examined. $69 q$ and 114 . Six specimens reported by Triapitsyn (2010) from Montana and Washington were also examined, confirming his identifications. Their collection data are not duplicated here. Specimens from Alaska, California, and Oregon (Triapitsyn 2010) were not examined but represent additional state records. CANADA. Alberta. Beaverlodge area, Saskatoon Mt., $962 \mathrm{~m}, 55^{\circ} 13.20^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 119^{\circ} 16.92^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, 2.viii.2007, J. Otani, natural meadow, sweeping, CNCHYM07462 (1 , CNC). British Columbia. Shushwap Lake, Blind Bay, 15-31.viii.1986, C.A. Elsey, MT, CNCHYM07460 [barcode failed] (10, CNC); Upper Carmanah Valley, 31.vii-11.viii, 12-27.viii, 28.viii-9.ix, 10-29.ix, 30.ix-16.x, 17-26.x.1991, N. Winchester, MT (15q, 33才, CFS-Victoria). New Brunswick. Fundy National Park, Chignecto campsite, $45.6032^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 64.9877^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 12$.viii.2009, J. Fernández, roadside, MT (2q, CNC); Parker Ridge, Forest Insect Survey, 53-18C14, 5.viii. 1953 (2q, 10 , CNC). Nova Scotia. Bridgetown, 7.x.1912, 20.vii, 26.vii, 5.xi, 16.xi, 1.x.1913, G.E. Saunders ( $37,38{ }^{\top}$, USNM). Newfoundland. Cornerbrook, $48^{\circ} 57.355^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 57^{\circ} 54.681^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, 17.vii.2008, H. Goulet, C. Boudreault, fallow field ( $2 q, 5 \delta^{\lambda}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ). Nova Scotia. Cape Breton Highlands National Park, Pleasant Bay, 24.vii.1983, L. Masner (3q, CNC). Ontario. Buckham's Bay, $45.4985^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 76.1108^{\circ}$ W, v-x.2010, J. Read, MT, CNCHYM07459 (1q, CNC); Hamilton, 28.vii-1.viii.1981, M. Sanborne, MT (3q, CNC); Island Falls, 23.viii.1959,
S.M. Clark (19, CNC); London, Fanshawe Experimental Farm, 9-12.1982, L. Masner, turnip field ( $27, \mathrm{CNC}$ ), and 5-30.vii.1982, L. Masner ( 1 , CNC); Ottawa, $45^{\circ} 21.365^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $75^{\circ} 42.416^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 5-8 . x .2008$, H. Goulet ( $17, \mathrm{CNC}$ ); Thunder Bay, Powells Lakes, 10.5 km NE. Lake Supcrior, Law road, 16.viii.1980. M. Kaulbars, sweeping, CNC11YM07463 |barcode failed] (18, CNC). Watcrloo, 4.ix.1977, L. LeSage (17. CNC). Prince Edward Island. Charlottctown, 4-18.viii.1991, M.E.M. Smith, rutabaga field, YPT, ( 14, CNC); Harrington, 18-22.viii.1988, 18-24.vii, 23.vii-8.viii, 7-21.viii.1989, M.E.M. Smith, potato field, YPT ( $57.10, \mathrm{CNC}$ ); North Tryon, 7.viii.1991, M.E.M. Smith, potato field, YPT ( $17, \mathrm{CNC}$ ); near Stanope, Brackley Beach,17.viii.1988, C.M. Yoshimoto, sweeping, CNCHYM07461 (17, CNC). Quebec. Bellc-Anse, $48^{\circ} 37.50^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 64^{\circ} 10.70^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 26 . v i i .2008$, H. Goulet, C. Boudreault, A. Badiss, fallow field, sweeping ( $3 q, 1{ }^{\top}$, CNC) ; Frelighsburg, 8.viii.1995, N. Bostanian, orchard, YPT (1q, CNC); Lac Chicobi, 18.viii.1971, A. Sauvé, MT (3q, CNC). USA. New York. Herkimer Co.: 9 mi . N. Herkimer, no date, N.F. Johnson, old field, MT (2 , $1 \delta^{\top}$, CNC). Ohio: Portage Co., Nelson \& Kennedy State Res., 9.viii.2003, T. Pucci (1 , CLEV). Virginia. Page Co.: Shenandoah National Park, Big Meadows, 1006 m , 19.ix.1980, L. Masner, B. Bowen (2q. 193 , CNC), 8.vii.1987, G. Gibson ( 26 , CNC), $8 . v i i .1987,1300 \mathrm{~m}$, J. T. Huber, sweeping $(6 \neq 50$, CNC). Washington. Clallam Co.: Olympic National Park, 4 mi . S. Elwha [River], 15.viii.1985, A.T. Finnamore, T. Thormin (2q, CNC). Pacific Co.: 6 mi. N. Raymond, 19.vii.1988, J.D. Pinto (1q, CNC). Pierce Co.: Ashford, 1-14.viii. 1985 (17, CNC). Thurston Co.: Kalispell, 30.vii.1988, H.E. Andersen ( $60^{\lambda}, \mathrm{UCRC}$ ).

## Ooctonus occidentalis Whittaker

(Figs. 14, 34, 45, 56, 71, 84, 111, 114, 133, 152, 167, 175, 180)
Ooctorus occidentalis Whittaker, 1931: 191; Peck, 1951: 411 (catalogue); Peck, 1963: 19 (catalogue); Burks, 1979: 1027 (catalogue).
Ooctonus insignis Haliday: Triapitsyn, 2010: 23, 47 (misidentifications). The two female specimens from Alaska in CAS, one of which bears a 2009 identification label by Triapitsyn reading "Ooctonus ?insignis" and incorrectly published as $O$. insignis [without the question mark], are both $O$. occidentalis.

Type material. Lectotype $q$ in BMNH (examined), here designated to avoid ambiguity about the status of the type specimens of this species, on card (Fig. 14) labelled 1. "Lectotype [purple edged circle]". 2. "Type [red rectangular label]". 3. "Hollyburn, B.C. 31.VIII. 30 Coll. O.W.". 4. Canada: O. Whittaker Coll. Per W.H. Storey. B.M. 1947-212.". 5. "Ooctonus occidentalis Whitt. \& Det. O. Whittaker". 6. "B.M. Type Hym. 5.2321 ". The lectoype is mounted face down on the card with wings, legs, and antennae (dorsal view) spread out.

Paralectotype. One female in USNM (examined), on card and mounted in same manner as lectotype, and labelled 1. "Paratype". 2. "Paratype No. 43554 U.S.N.M.." 3. "Hollyburn, B.C. 18.VII. 28 Coll. O.W.". 4. "Ooctonus occidentalis Whitt. \& Det. O. Whittaker".

Diagnosis. Ooctomis occidentalis is distinguished from other Nearetic species by the combination of $\mathrm{fl}_{5}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ each with 2 sensory ridges, vertex with stemmaticum, propodeum without spur, pentagonal areole with anterior median carina gradually and smoothly bifurcating postcriorly, and plica clearly and uniformly bowed outwards and meeting propodeal margin well within lateral margins of metascutcllum.

Some of the above features (stemmaticum, lack of propodeal spur and shape of sublateral carina) occur in O. I'emipterms but this is a smaller species with shorter antenna and mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ only, and the propodeum is reticulate. Oriental and Palaearctic species that lack a spur are O. himalayus Subba Rao, O. Iapen Triapitsyn, and O. spartak Triapitsyn but they differ in other features.

Description. Female. Body length 1434-1613 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Head, flagellum except $\mathrm{fl}_{1}$ and sometimes $\mathrm{fl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{3}$, and mesosoma dark brown; metasoma brown; scape, pedicel, $\mathrm{fl}_{1}$ and sometimes $\mathrm{fl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{3}$, petiole, and legs uniform bright yellow. Head. Head (Fig. 34) width 350-407 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Vertex (Figs. 45, 56) with stemmaticum; mid ocellus diameter 30-36. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 71) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}$ the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ : $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 4.19-5.76$, $\mathrm{fl}_{2} 3.77-4.59$, fl 3.48-4.54, $\mathrm{fl}_{4} 3.18-4.47, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 2.90-3.5, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 2.67-3.35$; clava $3.56-3.93 \times$ as long as wide, slightly shorter than $\mathrm{fl}_{6}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape $260-283 / 36-44$, pedicel $67-$ $71 / 38-41, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 93-110 / 19-23, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 88-106 / 22-23, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 86-108 / 24-26, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 85-101 / 23-24, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 90-$ 99/29-31, $\mathrm{fl}_{6} 86-97 / 29-32, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 82-92 / 30-32, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 77-83 / 37-42$, clava 220-238/57-63. Total flagellum length 907-1032. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 111, 114) with collar narrow, long and clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina (Figs. 111, 114). Mesonotum (Figs. 111, 114) wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved; scutellar seta long, extending well posterior to frenal line; frenum about $0.6 \times$ mesoscutellum length and entirely reticulate, frenal line slightly concave medially. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 133) smooth between carinae and its anterior margin without stub; median areole well separated from metascutellum by fairly long median carina not extending to metascutellum; plica uniformly curved, extending to metascutellum medial to its lateral margin, and not divided anteriorly. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 152) length 1529-1763, width $570-650$, length/width $2.55-2.68$, longest marginal setae $68-91$, about $0.13 \times$ as long as greatest wing width. Hind wing (Fig. 152) length 1175-1311, width 65-79. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa + metatrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 167, 175) with ovipositor length 455-505 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), 0.81-0.85 $\times$ as long as metatibia (554-608).

Male. Body length 1210-1469 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Entire flagellum dark brown. Antenna (Fig. 84). Measurements, length ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ): scape 188-202, pedicel 61-65, fil 155-174, fi2 171-198, $\mathrm{fl}_{3} 164-198, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 165-195, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 166-192, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 168-188, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 166-186, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 162-177, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 161-170, \mathrm{fl}_{10}$ 153-170, $\mathrm{fl}_{11}$ 147-157. Total flagellar length 1184-1994. Each flagellomere slightly bottleshaped, distinctly wider towards base (Fig. 84). $\mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width 4.27-5.03, with about 8 mps. Mid ocellus diameter 34. Genitalia fairly narrow in anterior half (Fig. 180).

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Specimens have been collected mainly in forests, from old growth rainforest (hemlock and cedar), to deciduous forest (alder and cottonwood) but also from a meadow. Ooctomis occidentalis has often been collected at the same times and places as $O$. canadensis.

Barcoding．Two of three specimens yielded barcodes（CNCHYM07504，CNCHYM07507）， the third did not（CNCHYM07508）．There was no intraspeeifie variation based on DNA， not surprisingly because the speeimens were from the same eollecting event．Two other specimens were ineorreetly assigned to $O$ ．occidentalis prior to bareoding．They belong to O．canadensis．

Variation．The Alaska speeimen has both antennae missing beyond $f_{4}$（and part of this segment may also be missing）and the propodeal carinae differ slightly from other specimens． It is identified $O$ ．occidentalis with some doubt．

Material examined． 28 and 29 ．CANADA．British Columbia．Near Elk Falls， $49^{\circ} 08.25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 121^{\circ} 48.16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 500 \mathrm{~m}, 9 . v i i i .2001$ ，D．Gillespie，H．Goulet，L．Hoey，old meadow and old growth rainforest（hemlock and cedar），CNCHYM07508［barcode failed］（37．， $33^{\top}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）；Manning Provineial Park，650m，1－10．vii．1988，S．\＆J．Peek（17，1 ，CNC）； Queen Charlotte Is．，Charlotte City，9．viii．1960，W．R．Richards（10，CNC），Graham 1．， Masset，9－13．vii．1983，I．M．Smith（1才，CNC），Graham 1．，Rennel Sound，15．vii．1983， 1．M．Smith，sweeping grasses and alders（1，CNC），Graham I．，Tow Hill，7．vii．1983，1．M． Smith（ $1 \delta^{\prime}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ）；Sooke， $48^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 44.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 123^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 90 \mathrm{~m}, 27-31 . v i i .2010$ ．A．Bennett， MT（1才，CNC）；Sumas Mountain Provincial Park，29．vii．1980，G．Gibson，sweeping （2 $\%$ ，USNM）；Terrace，2．viii．1960，W．R．Richards（1才，CNC）；Tweedsmuir Provincial Park，Bella Coola Valley，Stuie，23．vii－4．viii．1983，1．M．Smith，meadow，pitfall trap（1 ， CNC）：Vaneouver，10．vii．1988，J．R．Vockeroth（1 ，CNC），Pacific Spirit Provincial Park， 7．viii．1997，I．Klimaszewski，forest edge（1，CNC）；Vancouver 1．，Lake Cowichan， 1.7 km N．of town，24．vii．1979，19－28．vii．1985，1．M．Smith，at seepage area，sweeping（4， 1才，CNC），Sayward，1000’，14．vi－10．xi．1984，D．Miller，PT，alder／cottonwood（1q， 1 ， CNC）．USA．Alaska． 14 km N．Juneau，Mendenhall Valley，Dredge Lake，17－25．viii．1999， M．Schultz，MT（ $17,2{ }^{\top}$ ，UCRC）；Sitka， $0-100 \mathrm{~m}$ ，vii．1970，N．L．H．Krauss（1 ${ }^{\lambda}$ ，USNM）； Valdez，Valdez Glacier Campground，2．viii．1978，P．H．Arnaud（2q，CAS）．California．Del Norte Co．：Crescent City，3．viii．1940，H．\＆M．Townes（2q，2 ，AEIC）；Klamath，coastal trail，3．viii．1985，L．Masner，sweeping，CNCHYM07504，CNCHYM07507（11\％， 11 ， CNC，UCRC）．Oregon．Cury Co．：Port Oxford，10．vii．1985，1．M．Smith， 4.6 km from 101，stream by road to MeGribble Campground（ $3 q, 2$ ．CNC）．Washington．Clallam Co．：Agnew，25．vii．1990，J．D．Pinto．Pacific Co．： 23.2 mi．S．South Bend on US 10，8－11． ix．1968，D．D．Munroe，MT（1q，CNC）．

## Ooctomas quadricarinatus Girault

（Figs．3，6，7，17，22，35，57，72，85，95，115，118，134，140，153，181，189，192，195－198）
Ooctonus quadricarinatus Girault，1916b：301；Girault，1929： 21 （key）；Peck，1951： 411 （eatalogue）；Peck，1963： 19 （catalogue）；Burks，1979： 1027 （catalogue）．
Ooctonus quadrisiguatus Blackman，1915： 56 （nomen nudum）．
Type material．Lectotype $\widehat{\delta}$（Fig．17）in USNM（examined），here designated to avoid confusion about the identity of this species，on slide（Fig．22）labeled：1．＂Ooctonus
quadricarina-tus Gir types.". 2. "Ooctonus quadricarinatus Lectotype $\delta$ des. Huber 2011". 3. "19942". 4. "+1 © Polynema (Doriclytus) Det. J. Huber \& S. Triapitsyn 2008". The lectotype is the most intact specimen of Ooctonus quadricarinatus (only head separated from rest of body).

Paralectotypes. The two other, broken up males of O. quadricarinatus [and two males of Polynema (one is a head + antennac only)] under one cover slip on the type slide are designated here as paralectotypes. The types clearly are most of the specimens mentioned by Blackman (1915), probably using a name supplied to him by Girault who then changed his mind about the specific epithet when he described the species a year later. Blackman (1915) incorrectly recorded the sex of the Ooctomus specimens as female but astutely noted that the host could not be a species of Scolytidae but possibly came from eggs of tree hoppers or leaf hoppers.

Diagnosis. Ooctomus quadricarinatus is distinguished from other Nearctic species by the combination of large size, very dark petiole and coxae (Fig. 3), and ovipositor exserted distinctly beyond the gastral apex.

Description. Female. Body length 1382-1843 (n=10). Head and mesosoma black, metasoma dark brown, except petiole apically and gaster basally and ovipositor lighter brown; antenna dark brown except radicle and scape and pedicel laterally usually yellowish; coxae, femora, and apical tarsomere dark brown, trochanters, tibiae, and tarsomeres 1-4 usually yellowish (Fig. 3). Mandibles brown. Head. Head width $(\mathbf{n}=4) 316-352$. Vertex without stemmaticum (Fig. 57-male). Mid ocellus diameter 27-35. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 72) with 1 mps (rarely 0 ) on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}, 1 \mathrm{mps}$ on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}, 2 \mathrm{mps}$ (rarely 1 ) on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}, 2 \mathrm{mps}$ on $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava; $\mathrm{fl}_{3}$ slightly the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 4.03-5.00, \mathrm{fl}_{2}$ $4.29-5.70, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 4.08-4.98, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 3.94-4.61, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 3.58-3.88, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 3.10-3.52$; clava $4.01-4.74 \times$ as long as wide, and almost as long as half of $\mathrm{fl}_{6}+\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Total flagellum 708-758. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape $230-260 / 30-44$, pedicel $30-44 / 32-36$, fl $80-$ $90 / 18-22$, $\mathrm{fl}_{2} 93-105 / 21-25$, fl $98-107 / 21-24$, fl4 $90-99 / 20-24$, fl $93-98 / 21-27$, fl $78-$ $91 / 24-27, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 89-94 / 30-32, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 76-84 / 32-42$, clava 208-227/44-55. Total flagellum length 905-995. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 115, 118) with collar moderately narrow and short but clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 6, 7, 95, 115, 118-male) moderately wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved; scutellar setae short, extending posteriorly at most to medially almost straight frenal line; frenum about $0.66 \times$ mesoscutellum length. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 134-male) smooth between carinae, and anterior margin of propodeum with a stub slightly lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole separated from metascutellum by fairly short median carina; plica straight, its anterior apex extending almost to stub, and with a short bifurcation. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 153-male) length 1508-1623, width 528-581, length/width 2.81-2.88, longest marginal setae $63-75$, about $0.13 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ). Hind wing (Fig. 153-male) length ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) 1156-1194, width 69-71, longest marginal setae 94-103. Metasoma. Petiole almost as long as metacoxa + metatrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 189) with ovipositor length 1173-1253 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), 1.77-2.17× as long as metatibia (580-683), and distinctly projecting beyond gastral apex.

Male. Body length 1152 1638 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Antema (Fig. 85). Mcasurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ : scape 188 199, pedicel 63-69, fl $159-164, \mathrm{II}_{2} 182-194, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 184-200,17_{4} 188-190$, $\mathrm{II}_{5} 171-195, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 167-187, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 168-185, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 163-176, \mathrm{II}_{9} 159-166, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 166-171, \mathrm{II}_{11} 153-174$. Total flagellar length 1887-2027. $\mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width $3.82-4.73$, with 8 or 9 mps . Mid ocellus diameter 39-41. Gaster (Fig. 192). Genitalia fairly wide (dorsal view) for most ol its length (Figs. 181, 192).

Hosts and habitat. Onc male (Ontario, Chalk River) was recorded from Pissodes strobi Say (Curculionidae). Additional rearing records are needed to confirm the weevil host. Many specimens have been obtained from stumps, logs or forests of Pinus. [some infcsted with Tomicus piniperda (L.)], others from meadows or openings in forests and two from grassland and plankton netting. The latter two specimens were evidently collected during dispersal. Blackman (1915) collected the type series from Pinhs strobus L.

Barcoding. Four of five specimens yielded barcodes (CNCHYM07470. CNCHYM07471. and CNCHYM07472, CNCHYM07473) and one did not (CNCHYM07469). There was slight variation in DNA among the specimens.

Material examined. 34 and 74 . CANADA. New Brunswick. Queen's Co.: 25 km W. Canaan Forks, hwy. 112, 19.viii.1984, M. Kaulbars (1才, CNC). Albert Co.: Fundy National Park, Chignecto campsite, 6-10.viii.2009, J. Fernández, YPT (2, CNC). Kent Co., Kouchibouguac National Park, 18.v.1977, G.A.P. Gibson (3, CNC). Nova Scotia. Bay of Fundy, 21.viii.1979, A. Locke, from plankton sample (1q, CNC); Kejimkujik National Park, Peter's Point, $44.36571^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 65.19624^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, 2.viii.2009, J. Fernandez, mixed forest, swamp, CNCHYM07469 [barcode failed], CNCHYM07470, CNCHYM07472, CNCHYM07473. ( 1 q and 5 , CNC). Ontario. Alfred Bog, 29.vii.1984, M. Sanborne ( $10^{\circ}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ); 5 mi . E. Camden East, $44^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1-8 . v i i i .1997$, P. Bouchard, grassland, MT (1q, CNC); Chalk River, 18.viii.1951, J.M. Anderson, ex Pissodes strobi (1§, CNC); near Clayton, 12.viii.1981, C. Babcock, H. Goulet (3q and 2o, CNC); Constance Bay, 6-23.vii, 12-24.viii, and 26.viii-7.ix.1983, M. Sanborne ( $1 q$ and 4 ${ }^{\top}$, CNC); near Flanboro, Lawson Farm, 21-30.ix.1996, alvar, B. DeJonge, MT (1q, CNC); Guelph, ex trap logs of Scots and Jack pines infested with Tomicus piniperda, collected 24.iii-29. vi.1998, em. 21 and 30.vii. 1998 in laboratory, K. Ryall ( $3 q$ and 7 , ROM, CNC) and 20 km S., Reid Property, 23.vii-8.viii.1998, K. Ryall, ex Pinlus sy/vestris $\log$ ( 67 and $20{ }^{\circ}$, CNC); Haliburton Forest and Wildlife Reserve, $45^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 78^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 7$ and $8 . v i i i .2001$, C. Vance, pine forest, MT (2q, CNC); Hamilton, 14-22.viii.1981, M. Sanborne (1q, CNC); London, 1-17.ix.1972, A. Tomlin, pine hedgerow (13, CNC), Fanshawe Experimental Farm, 10-31. vii, 5.ix.-2.x.1981, 4-25.viii.1982, A. Tomlin ( $1 \%$ and 33 , CNC); Nepean, Bruce Pit, 1-14. xi.1993, and Merivale Gardens, 1-11.xi.1984, L. Masner, YPT ( 2 , CNC) ; Palgrave, SE. Of Orangeville, l.viii.1998, K. Ryall, ex Pinus sylvestris $\log$ (23, CNC); Rockton, 1.viii.1998, K. Ryall, ex Pinus sylvestris $\log \left(1 \delta^{2}, \mathrm{CNC}\right)$; Rondeau Provincial Park, 13.viii.1980, C.M. Yoshimoto ( 1 q, CNC), near hwy. 16, $44^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 75^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 16-22 . v i i i, 27 . \mathrm{ix}-5 . x .1994$, L. Masner, YPT CNCHYM07471 (3, CNC); Woodside, 27.viii.2007, ex Pinus sylvestris $\log , \mathrm{P}$. DeGroot ( $1 \delta^{\lambda}$, CFS-Great Lakes). Prince Edward Island. Millvale, $46^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ $63^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ W, river, 30.viii.1992, J. Heraty. Quebec. Gatineau Park, 26.viii.1987, sweeping,
and vii-viii.1989. L. Masner, emergenee trap over pine stump (27, CNC), Luskville Falls, 300 m, 12-19.viii, 25.viii-2.ix. 1986, J. Denis, L. Dumouchel, blueberry ficlds in oak-conifer clearing, YPT (2 , CNC), near Luskvillc Falls, 27.vii-4.viii.1992, CNC Hym team (1 太, CNC). USA. Alabama. Baldwin ('o.: Tensaw, $31.17^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 87.72^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, 15.xii.2004, E. Benton (17. CNC). Arkansas. Johmson Co.: 12 mi . NE. Clarksville White Road Spring, 11.viii-6. ix. 1994, G. Leeds. YPT ( 1 , CNC). New York. Otsego Co.: Oneonta. 1900', 18.viii.1935, H.K. Townes, swamp ( $1 \hat{\sigma}$, AE1C). North Carolina. 14 mi . NW. Highlands, Nantahala National Forest, 3500'. 27.ix.1980, L. Masner and B. Bowen (17, CNC). South Carolina. Aiken Co.: no locality given, 3.viii.1998, J.P. Pitts (1 CNC). Tennessee. Blount Co.: Great Smoky Mountains National Park, Top of the World, $35^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 83^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 670 \mathrm{~m}, 2-16$. vii. 1998 , H. Alley, old growth pine, MT ( $60, \mathrm{CNC}$ ). Henderson Co.: Lexington, Natchez Trace State Park, 11-15.vi.1972, G. Heinrich, MT (1§, CNC). Virginia. Essex Co.: 1 mi . SE. Dunnsvillc, $37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ W, 14.iii-3.iv, 12-21.vi, 3-20.viii.1996, D.R. Smith, MT (57. 2 , USNM). Hardy Co.: 3 mi . NE. Mathias, $38^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 78^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 2-16 . v i i, 17 . v i i-2 . v i i i$, 22.vii-13.ix, and 14.ix-14.x.2002, D.R. Smith, MT (5 and 1 10 CNC). Louisa Co.: 4 mi . S. Cuckoo, 15.vi.1985, J. Kloke, D.R. Smith, MT (1q, USNM). Montgomery Co.: 8 mi . N. Blacksburg, $1000 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . x-8 . x i .1987$, MT ( $1 \delta^{\lambda}, \mathrm{CNC}$ ); Page Co.: Shenandoah National Park, Big Meadow, 1300m, 8.vii-20.viii.1987, CNC Hym. Team, MT (1 §. CNC), Skyline Parkway S., 610-915m, 20.ix.1980, L. Masner and B. Bowen (17, CNC).

## Ooctonus readae Huber, sp. n.

(Figs. 2, 8, 10, 23, 36, 58, 73, 86, 94, 116, 119, 135, 154, 168, 176, 190)
Type material. Holotype + (CNC), on slide (Fig. 23) labeled: 1. "Canada: ON, Buckham's Bay, $45.4985^{\circ}$ N $76.1108^{\circ}$ W, 15-20.v.2010, J. Read, MT". 2. "Ooctonus readae Huber Holotype $q$ dorsal".

Paratypes. 43 and 20 . CANADA. Ontario. Buckham's Bay, $45.4985^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ 76.1108 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, 15-20.v CNCHYM07465 [barcode failed], 21-28.v. 2010 CNCHYM07466 [barcode failed], J. Read, MT (30q, 9, CNC); 5 km NW. Almonte, hwy 49, Burnt Lands Provincial Park, $45^{\circ} 5.29^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 08.39^{\prime}$ W, H. Goulet, J. Frenandez, 29.v.2008, alvar, sweep ( 4 , 8 3 , CNC); North Gower to Smiths Falls, $45^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 75^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 14-16,17-19 . \mathrm{v} .2004$, A. Bennett. D. Barnes, MT (5 , 1 , CNC); Ottawa, $45^{\circ} 21.365^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 75^{\circ} 42.426^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 24-30 . v .2007$ CNCHYM07464, 1-15.v.2010, H. Goulet, city garden, MT, (4. 2 $\left.{ }^{\text {® }}, \mathrm{CNC}\right)$

Derivation of specific epithet. The species is named after Jennifer Read, an excellent technician who has produced outstanding digital images for my publications on Mymaridae.

Diagnosis. Ooctonus readae is distinguished from most other Nearctic species by the frenum medially smooth or almost so (with reticulate sculpture much weaker or absent medially than laterally), a feature shared with $O$. silvensis and $O$. vulgatus.

Ooctonus readae differs from $O$. vulgatus by its larger ocelli (smaller in $O$. vulgatus), smaller eye (larger in O. vulgatus), longer marginal vein (shorter in O. vulgatus), shorter and relatively wider $\mathrm{f}_{2}$, and narrower pentagonal areole that does not extend to the metascutellum (widcr areole extending to metascutellum in $O$. vulgatus). Ooctonus readae
differs from $O$. siliensis by the presence of mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ ( mps absent on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and usually on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ in O. siluensis).

Description. Female. Body length 10241178 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Hcad and mesosoma dark brown, almost black, petiole brownish yellow, metasoma very dark brown; radicle yellow, scape latcrally and ventrally and pedicel apically brownish yellow, flagellum dark brown; legs yellowish, almost always with strong brown suffusion medially on femora and tibiac and sometimes procoxa: apical tarsomere of each leg dark brown (Fig.2). Head. Head (Fig. 36) width 295-309 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Vertex (Fig. 58) without stemmaticum; mid ocellus diameter 21-26; LOL about 2.5. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 73) with 1 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$, usually 1 (sometimes 0 ) mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$, and 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8} . \mathrm{Fl}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ equally long, slightly the longest funicle scgments. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ : $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 2.32-3.00, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 1.97-2.37, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 1.98-2.27, \mathrm{fl}_{4} \mathrm{l} .85-2.15, \mathrm{fl}_{5}$ $1.88-2.12, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.35-1.68$; clava $3.09-3.31 \times$ as long as wide, and slightly longer than $\mathrm{fl}_{6}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape 189-204/40-43, pedicel 66-71/35-36, $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 51-60 / 19-24, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 47-53 / 21-24, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 48-55 / 23-25, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 41-47 / 21-24, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 51-59 / 25-30$, $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ $42-48 / 26-30$. fl , 48-51/31-37, fl $46-52 / 36-39$, clava $165-175 / 50-59$. Total flagellum length 539-600. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 116, 119) with collar wide, moderately long and clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 94, 116, 119) wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved; scutellar setae fairly short, extending slightly posterior to medially almost straight frenal line; frenum $0.60-0.68 \times$ mesoscutellum length and smooth or almost so medially, reticulate laterally. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Figs. 8, 135) smooth between carinae; anterior margin with stub just lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole separated from metascutellum by median carina; plica straight or slightly curved outward and not divided anterodorsally, ending just anterior to stub. Wings. Fore wing (Figs. 18, 154) length 1137-1194, width 395-412. length/width 2.86-2.97, longest marginal setae $62-71$, about $0.17 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) . Marginal/stigmal vein $117-134$, about $0.5 \times$ submarginal vein length. Hind wing (Fig. 154) length 864-921, width 49-62, longest marginal setae 98-107. Metasoma. Petiole as long as metacoxa. Gaster (Fig. 168, 176, 190) with ovipositor length 415-509 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), 1.02-1.19× as long as metatibia (397-427).

Male. Body length. 973-1075 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Antenna (Fig. 86). Measurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=1)$ : scape 158 , pedicel $66, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 103, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 104, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 104, \mathrm{ff}_{4} 102, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 107, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 103, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 104, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 102$, $\mathrm{fl}_{9} 104, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 84, \mathrm{fl}_{11} 91$. Total flagellar length $1095 . \mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width 3.67 and with $6(7 ?) \mathrm{mps}$. Mid ocellus diameter 25 .

Barcoding. One of three specimens yielded barcodes (CNCHYM07464) and two did not (CNCHYM07465 and CNCHYM 07466) even though they were collected into $95 \%$ ethanol in a Malaise trap in 2010, so intraspecific variation based on DNA cannot be assessed.

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. The type series came from a Malaise trap located on a sandy lawn at the edge of a deciduous/coniferous forest.

## Ooctonms silvensis Girault

(Figs. 4, 16, 24, 36, 59, 74, 87, 96, 117, 120, 136, 165, 169, 177, 182, 194)

Ooctonus silvensis Girault, 1916a: 70; Girault, 1929: 22 (key); Peck, 1951: 411 (catalogue); Peck, 1963: 19 (catalogue): Burks, 1979: 1027 (catalogue).

Type material. Holotype $q$ in USNM (examined), on slide (Fig. 24) labeled: 1. "Ooctonus silvensis Girault. \& type". 2. "19375". The holotype is in poor condition, crushed and broken up (Fig. 16) under one cover slip.

Diagnosis. Ooctomus silvensis females are distinguished from most other Nearctic species by the frenum mostly smooth except laterally (Fig. 117), a feature shared with $O$. readae and $O$. vulgatus.

Ooctonus silvensis differs from $O$. vulgatus by its longer marginal vein (shorter in $O$. vulgatus), larger ocelli (ocelli minute in $O$. vulgatus), shorter and relatively wider $\mathrm{fl}_{2}$ (longer and narrower in $O$. vulgatus), and narrower pentagonal areole that does not extend to the metascutellum (wider areole extending to metascutellum in O. vulgatus). Ooctonus silvensis differs from $O$. readae by the absence of mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{6}\left(\mathrm{mps}\right.$ present on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}$ and usually on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ in $O$. readae).

Description. Female. Body length $850-1019(\mathrm{n}=10)$. Head and mesosoma black (fresh specimens) or very dark brown (faded specimens), petiole brownish yellow, metosoma brown; radicle yellow, scape laterally and ventrally and pedicel apically brownish yellow, flagellum brown; procoxa and tarsomeres 5 brown, meso- and metacoxa and all femora and tibiae yellowish brown but yellowish at apices, trochanters and tarsomeres $1-4$ yellow (Fig. 4).

Head. Head (Fig. 37) width 251-270 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Vertex (Fig. 59) without stemmaticum; mid occllus diameter 24, LOL about 2.0 greatest diameter of ocellus.
Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 74) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}$ slightly the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios $\left(\mathrm{n}=5\right.$ ): $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 2.33-3.30, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 1.70-2.24$, $\mathrm{fl}_{3} 1.60-2.04, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 1.55-2.02, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 1.42-1.82, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.39-1.51$; clava $2.68-3.34 \times$ as long as wide, and slightly shorter than $\mathrm{fl}_{5}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width): scape 169-182/ 34-38, pedicel $59-61 / 31-35, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 49-51 / 16-20, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 35-40 / 17-21, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 37-42 / 20-23, \mathrm{fl}_{4}$ $35-39 / 21-23, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 40-42 / 23-24, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 36-38 / 24-26, \mathrm{ff}_{7} 48-51 / 29-34, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 44-48 / 32-40$, clava 158-173/51-59. Total flagellum length 482-524. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 117, 120) with collar moderately wide and short but clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 96, 117, 120) very wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes engraved; scutellar setae short, extending at most to slightly posterior to medially almost straight frenal line; frenum about $0.7 \times$ mesoscutellum length and smooth medially, reticulate laterally. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 136) smooth between carinae; anterior margin with stub just lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole separated from metascutellum by median carina; plica straight and not divided anterodorsally, ending just anterior and medial to stub.
Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 155, 156) length 1010-1088, width 318-363 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), length/width $3.00-3.25$, longest marginal setae $76-92$, about 0.24 as long as greatest wing width $(\mathrm{n}=5)$.

Marginal/stigmal vein 118-131, at least $0.51 \times$ submarginal vein length. Hind wing length 795-816, width 42-52, longest marginal setae 76 98. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa + metatrochanter. Gaster (Fig. 169, 177) with ovipositor 311-367 long ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), 1.03-1.08× metatibia length (323-359).

Male. Body length. 840-1126 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Antenna (Fig. 87). Measurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ : scape 141-146, pediccl $60-63, \mathrm{fl}_{1} 75-89, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 72-96, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 76-95, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 79-95, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 80-93, \mathrm{fl}_{6}$ $79-94, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 82-98, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 82-95, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 88-99, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 87-108, \mathrm{fl}_{11} 90-100$. Total flagellar length 10491067. $\mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width $3.0-3.2$, with $7(8$ ? ) mps. Mid ocellus diameter 21 . Genitalia very wide for its entirc length (Fig. 182, 194).

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Ooctonus silvensis has been collected mainly in forested areas but also open field or 'prairie' type areas. Label data include mesic hardwood forest, pine/oak savannah, hardwood forest/beaver swamp, meadow, upland deciduous forest/field ecotone, 'prairie', hardwood forest and swamp.

Barcoding. Three of the ten specimens I identified as $O$. silvensis or near $O$. silvensis based on morphology yielded a barcode (CNCHYM07491, CNCHYM07496, CNCHYM 07498) and seven did not (CNCHYM07489, CNCHYM07490, CNCHYM07492, CNCHYM07493, CNCHYM07494, CNCHYM07495 and CNCHYM07497). There was very little variation between two barcodes (one from Florida and one from Missouri). The specimen from Guelph with an almost complete barcode (Fig. 200: 09BBHYM-696) is intermediate between the Florida and Missouri ones. On this basis I consider that all three specimens belong to $O$. silvensis.

Material examined. 126 q and $46 \delta^{\circ}$. CANADA. Ontario. 2 mi . SE. Innisville, $45^{\circ} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $76^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ E, 12-19, 19-26.vi.1991, L. Masner, J. Denis, MT and YPT (29, CNC); St. Lawrence 1slands National Park, Grenadier Island, Centre, 11.vi.1975, E. Sigler (1q, CNC), 16.vi.1975, R.J. McMillan, CNCHYM07490 [barcode failed] (1 $\%$, CNC), 27.vi.1979, G. Gibson (19, CNC). Quebec. Mont Pinnacle near Frelighsburg, 20.vi.1991, M. Sharkey ( 1 ㅇ, CNC); Parc de la Gatineau, 2 km W. chemin Pilon, 8-14.vii.1992, and 2 km E. chemin Pilon, 26.v-2.vi.1992, CNC Hymenoptera Team, CNCHYM07489 [barcode failed] (29, CNC). USA. Colorado. 1563, C.F. Baker [no other data] ( $1 \circ$. 10 , USNM). Florida. Alachua Co.: Gainesville, AE1, 3-12.x.1986, J. Allen (1才, CNC), 20-27.xi.1986, 18-25. iv, 25.vi-3.vii. 1987 CNCHYM07492 [barcode failed], 20.xi.87-20.ii.1988, D.B. Wahl, F1T, (10ㅇ, 2才, CNC), 1-7.xii CNCHYM07491, 21-31.xii.1986, 1-11.i, 12-20.i, 20-30.i, 15-22. ii, 1-15.iii, 18-22.iii, 23-31.1987 W.R.M. Mason (16\%, 30, CNC), 12-20.i.1987, L. Masner, mesic hardwood forest, MT (3ㅇ, 1 h, CNC), vi-vii, 3-17.vii.1987, CNC Hymenoptera Team (2q, CNC). Wakulla Co.: Apalachicola National Forest, $30^{\circ} 19.751^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 30.309^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 13-$ 20.vi.2005, F. Ronquist, pine/oak savannah, MT ( 1 Q, UCRC), hwy 65 , post office bay, $30^{\circ} 03.565^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 59.057^{\prime}$ W, 12-20.v.2005, S. Joshi, A. Deans, D. Murray, MT (1 ${ }^{\prime}$, UCRC). Georgia. Clarke Co.: Athens, 25-30.iv.1987, beaver swamp (1ठ, CNC), 1.x-23.xi.1987, hardwood forest, beaver swamp ( $1 \AA, \mathrm{CNC}$ ). Union Co.: Cooper's Creek, WMA, 655 m , $34^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 84^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 15 . v .2002$, D. Yanega ( $1^{\circ}$, UCRC). Illinois. Union Co.: Shawnee National Forest, ca. 2 and 15 mi . S Murphysborough, roadside of route 127, I4.iv.2004, R. Rakitov, meadow, vacuum ( $2 \uparrow$, $1 \widehat{\sigma}$, UCRC). Maryland. Calvert Co.: Port Republic, viii-
ix.1986. M. Sharkey, MT ( $4_{7}$, CNC $)$; 4 mi. S. Prince Frederik. 16.iv-7.v.1987, L. Masner, MT ( $3_{7}, 16, \mathrm{CNC}$ ), 7 km S. Prince Frederick, 24.ix-14.xi.1987. CNC Ilymenoptera Team, hardwood forest, MT, CNCHYM07493 [barcode failed] (17, CNC). Prince George's Co.: Patuxent Research Station, 6-20.x.1980. M.E. Schauff, upland deciduous forest/open field ecotone, MT (117. I , USNM). Mississippi. Bolivar Co.: 19 km W. Boyle on hwy 446, Dahomey Wildlife Reserve, $33^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 90^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 8$-24.vii.1997, N.M. Schiff, MT (17. CNC). Missouri. Warne Co.: Williamsville, 21.x-11.xi. 1987 CNCHYM07498, iii.1988, 1.xi-10. xii.1988, J.T. Becker. MT (177, 1才, CNC). Ohio. Franklin Co.: Columbus, Kinnear Road "prairie", 1-16.ix, 2-10.x.2003, N.F. Johnson, MT (1 , CNC). Oklahoma. Latimer Co.: Red Oak environs, xi.1994, K. Stephan, FIT, CNCHYM07496(67, 2, CNC). Texas. Kerr Co.: Kerrville State Recreation Area, 1800', 1.vii.1982, G. Gibson (1on, CNC). Brazos Co.: Lick Creek Park. 25.v.1989, G. Zolnerowich (1, CNC). Bastrop Co.: Bastrop State Park, 2427.v.1983, M. Kaulbars (17, 1, CNC). Robertson Co.: 8 mi. E. Hearne, 1-21.iv.1991, M. Hallmark, MT (1 4, CNC). San Patricio Co.: Welder Wildlife Foundation Refuge, 4.xii.1999, hackberry forest and swamp, L. Masner, sweeping, CNCHYM07497 [barcode failed] (3q, $\left.4^{\circ}, \mathrm{CNC}\right), 28^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 97^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 . \mathrm{ii-1.iii} 2004$, S. Peck, MT (47.4 UCRC). Virginia. Fairfax Co.: near Amnandale, 6-12.v, 20-26.v.1990, D.R. Smith, MT (2 , USNM). Hardy Co.: 3 mi . NE. Matthias, $38^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 78^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 17 . \mathrm{iv}-3 . \mathrm{v} .2002$, D.R. Smith, MT ( 1 q, CNC). Louisa Co.: 4 mi. S. Cuckoo, 13-27.v.1987, 29.iii-11.iv, 27.v-7.vi, 8-18.vi.1988, J. Kloke, D.R. Smith (9, 4 , USNM). Montgomery Co.: 8 km NW. Blacksburg, 1000m, 18-28.iv, 8.iv-9.v, 9-19.v. 29.v-9.vi CNCHYM07494 and CNCHYM07495 [both barcodes failed], 9-19.vi, 19-30.vi, 29.ix-12.x, 8-25.ix, 12-24.x, 24.x-8.xi.1987, CNC Hymenoptera Team, rural area, MT (19 , 13 $\left.{ }^{\text {A }}, \mathrm{CNC}\right)$.

## Ooctonns triapitsyni Huber, sp. n.

(Figs. 25, 38, 60, 75, 121, 123, 137, 157, 170)
Type material. Holotype + (CNC), on slide (Fig. 25) labelled 1. "Canada: AB, Writing-onStone, Prov. Park 13-18.vii.1990, D. McCorquodale, sage south". 2. "Ooctonus triapitsyni Huber Holotype dorsal".

Paratypes. 36 and 16 . CANADA. Alberta. Lethbridge, Agriculture Canada Research Station, 9-15.vii.1980, G. Gibson, PT (17, 6 , CNC); 16 mi. S. McGrath, McIntyre Ranch, 27.vii-2.viii, 26.viii-9.ix.1990, D. Griffith, PT (87, 3 , CNC); Tolman Bridge Recreation Area, 17 km E. Trochu on hwy 585 near Red Deer River, 16-18. vii.1989. J. O’Hara (19, 10, CNC); Writing-on-Stone Provincial Park, 20-30.vii.1981, D. McCorquodale, PT (1 . CNC); 5 mi . W. Writing-on-Stone Provincial Park, Milk River Valley, 15.vii.1980, G. Gibson, sweeping (17, CNC); 0.5 km E. Writing-on-Stone Provincial Park, 5-13.vii, 30.viii-ix.1981, D. McCorquodale, PT (2q, CNC). Ontario. 3.5 km E. Almonte, 25-29.v.1993, F.W. Grimm, MT \& PT, alvar, F.W. Grimm (3q, $1 \delta^{\top}$, CNC). Quebec. Gatineau Park, Luskville Falls, top, 11.ix.1985, H. Goulet (27, $1 \widehat{\circ}, \mathrm{CNC})$; Luskville Falls, 300m, 8-15.vii.1986, J. Denis, L. Dumouchel (2 , CNC). Saskatchewan. Saskatoon Landing, 23.vi.1956, O. Peck (1q, CNC). USA. Alaska. Fairbanks, Badger road near North Pole, 18.vii.1985, H. Andersen ( 27, UCRC), University of Alaska campus, 19.vii.1985, H. Andersen, CNCHYM07523 [barcode failed] (37, I CNC). Arizona.

Cochise Co.: Huachucha Mts., Ash Canyon road, 0.5 mi . W. hwy 92, $5100^{\prime}$, viii and 1-11. xi.1993, N. McFarland, MT, CNCHYM07512 [barcode failed], CNCHYM07513 (67, 26 , UCRC); 12 mi. S. Sierra Vista, Ramsey Canyon, $1700 \mathrm{~m}, 6-13 . v i i, 4-22 . i x .1986$, B.V. Brown, MT, oak/juniper ( 27, CNC). Santa Cruz Co.: Sycamore Canyon, Harkt and Yank Spring, 4200', 7-8.vii.1982, G. Gibson, CNCHYM07409 [barcodc failcd] (1 ², CNC). South Dakota. Charles Mix Co.: Pickstown, 26.vii.1985, J.D. Pinto (17, 13, CNC).

Derivation of specific epithet. The species is named after Scrguei Triapitsyn, cntomology collection manager, University of California, Riverside, a good colleague who has published many papers on Mymaridae.

Diagnosis. Ooctomus triapitsyni is distinguished from other Nearctic species by the combination of 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, (sometimes only 1 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ ), uniform yellow leg colour. ovipositor longer than metatibia length, and body length greater than about 1075.

Ooctonus triapitsyni is most similar to $O$. readae and $O$. notatus but differs from the former by the uniform yellow legs (brownish in $O$. readae) and presence of one or two mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ (none in $O$. notatus). An occasional specimen appears to lack mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$, as in $O$. notatus, but $O$. triapitsyni has a longer body (at least 1075 ) compared to $O$. notatus (at most 1000).

Description. Female. Body length 1075-1331 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Head and mesosoma very dark brown, metasoma brown; $\mathrm{fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{3}$ light brown to brown, remainder of flagellum dark brown: petiole, legs except apical tarsomere, scape and pedicel uniform yellow.
Head. Head (Fig. 38) width ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) 305-352. Vertex (Fig. 60) without stemmaticum. Mid ocellus diameter 19-24.
Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 75) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{5}, \mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava, $\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ with 1 mps but occasionally ( 3 of 7 specimens measured) 1 and 2 mps on the same individual, and exceptionally ( 1 of 10 specimens measured) with 2 mps on each antenna. $\mathrm{FI}_{5}$ slightly longer than the remaining funicle segments. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios $\left(\mathrm{n}=5\right.$ ): $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 2.58-3.09, \mathrm{fl}_{2}$ $2.38-2.89, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 2.13-2.75, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 1.72-2.19, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 1.58-2.07, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.45-1.81$; clava $3.34-4.29 \times$ as long as wide, and slightly shorter than $\mathrm{fl}_{4}-\mathrm{fl}_{8}$ together. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=10$ ): scape $210-249 / 34-44$, pedicel $62-75 / 32-41$, $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 57-64 / 20-23, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 52-62 / 21-26 . \mathrm{fl}_{3} 55-65 / 24-29$. $\mathrm{fl}_{4} 42-63 / 24-30, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 56-70 / 32-36, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 48-63 / 31-37, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 54-70 / 35-39, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 51-63 / 38-42$, clava 200-236/53-56. Total flagellum length 615-756. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 121, 123) with collar moderately narrow and short but clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 121, 123) wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes raised; scutellar seta short, extending just posterior to medially straight frenal line; frenum about $0.66 \times$ mesoscutellum length and entirely reticulate. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 137) smooth between carinae and its anterior margin with a stub; median areole separated from metascutellum by a very short to slightly longer median carina; plica straight, with a very short bifurcation anteriorly (median arm slightly longer than lateral arm), extending to apex of stub almost in line with or just lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum. Wings. Fore wing (Figs. 155, 156) length 1097-1313, width 352-436. length/width $2.82-3.24$, longest marginal setae $25-50$, about $0.06-0.13 \times$ as long as greatest wing width ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Marginal vein length 124-149. Hind wing (Figs. 155, 156) length

870-985, width 49-54, Iongest marginal setae 95-108. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa + metatrochantellus. Gaster (Fig. 170) with ovipositor length 548-634 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) , $1.16-1.40 \times$ as long as metatibia ( $408-479$ ).

Male. Body length 998-1254 ( $n=5$ ). Legs slightly darker yellow than in female, seape and pedicel with brown especially dorsally, flagellum uniformly brown. Antennal measurements, length ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ): scape 188-192, pedicel 59-65, $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 104-111, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 107-127, \mathrm{fl}_{3}$ $109-131, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 110-128, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 112-127, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 109-125, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 107-125, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 106-117, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 107-117, \mathrm{fl}_{10}$ 106-113, $\mathrm{fl}_{11} 110-117$. Total flagellar length $1160-1329 . \mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width 3.06-4.12 and with 7 or 8 mps . Mid ocellus diameter 26-29.

Barcoding. One of the specimens (CNCHYM 07513) yielded barcodes so nothing can be said about intraspecific variation based on DNA. The specimen is treated as unassigned in Table 2; it may actually belong to $O$. arizonensis.

Hosts and habitat. Hosts are unknown. Specimens were collected in the boreal forest, and in prairie (G. Gibson, personal communication).

## Ooctonus vulgatus Haliday

(Figs. 5, 39, 61, 76, 88, 122, 124, 138, 158, 171, 185, 186)

Ooctonus vulgatus Haliday, 1833:344; lectotype $q$ in NMID (not examined), designated by Hincks, 1952: 157. Type locality: likely England or Ireland. Synonyms and their types treated by Triapitsyn (2010).
Ooctonus vulgatus Haliday: Foerster, 1847: 197, 200 (mouthparts, description); Ratzeburg, 1848: Plate 3, Fig. 27 (fore wing, male antenna); Schmiedeknecht, 1909: 490 (catalogue); Kloet and Hincks, 1945: 305 (checklist, Britain); Kryger, 1950: 78 (list); Bouček and Graham, 1978: 109 (checklist, Great Britain); Trjapitzin, 1978: 524 (taxonomy, European distribution); Viggiani and Jesu, 1988: 1023 (doubtful host record); Kalina, 1989: 127 (checklist, Czechoslovakia); Hansson, 1991: 49 (Sweden checklist); Triapitsyn 2010: 54 (redescription, literature, synonyms. misidentifications), 56 (records from Canada and USA); Huber et al. 2010: 231 (New Zealand records).
Ooctonus americanus Girault 1916a: 69; Girault, A.A. 1929:21 (key); Whittaker, 1931:192 (key); Peck, 1951: 410 (catalogue); Weaver and King, 1954: 17 (host, parasitism rate); Burks, 1958: 62 (reference correction); Peck, 1963: 18 (catalogue); Burks, 1967: 213 (catalogue, host); Burks, 1979: 1027 (catalogue, host); Triapitsyn 2010: 54 (synonymy).
Ooctonus askhamensis Hincks, 1952: 156; Kalina, 1989: 127 (checklist, Czechoslovakia).
Ooctonus auripes Whittaker, 1931: 190; Peck, 1951: 410 (catalogue); Burks, 1979: 1027 (catalogue); Triapitsyn, 2010: 28 (synonymy under notatus). Syn. nov.
Ooctomus sp.: Triapitsyn 2010: 54 (species identification, New Zealand records); Huber et al., 2010: 231 (New Zealand records).

Type material. Ooctonus americamus. Holotype $Q^{\circ}$ in USNM (examined), on slide labeled: 1. "Ooctonus americanus. Girault q type.". 2. [red label] "19353.". The holotype is
in poor condition, crushed and partly broken up (Fig. 16) together with a specimen of Gonatocervis (Lymaenon) under one cracked cover slip.
Ooctomus auripes. Lectotype (BMNH, cxamined), here designated to avoid ambiguity about the status of the type specimens of this species, on card labelled 1. "Lectotype [purple edged circle]". 2. "Type [red rectangular label]". 3. "Chilliwack, B.C. 15.X.26 Coll. O.W.". 4. "Canada: O. Whittaker Coll. per W.H. Storcy. B.M. 1947 - 212.". 5. "Ooctonus auripes Whitt. 中 Det. O. Whittaker". 6. "B.M. Type Hym. $5.2319 "$. Type mounted (Fig. 11) on card with wings, legs, and antennae (in dorsal view) spread out but metasoma missing.

Triapitsyn (2010: 28) synonymized $O$. auripes under $O$. notatus, based on examination of the paralectotype. The lectotype (Fig. 11) is a different specics that matches O. vulgatus. Ooctomus auripes is therefore placed here in synonymy under $O$. vulgatus instead.

Diagnosis. Ooctonus vulgatus is distinguished from other Ooctomus species by the single row of bullae inside the female clava (Fig. 76). Otherwise, it is similar to O. notatus, $O$. silvensis, and $O$. readae in size but differs by antennal proportions, mps distribution, ocelli size, frenum sculpture, marginal vein length, and propodeal carinae pattern.

Description. Female. Body length 691-1075 ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ). Head and mesosoma almost black (fresh specimens) or very dark brown (faded specimens), petiole brownish yellow, metasoma dark to light (almost yellowish) brown; radicle yellow, scape laterally and ventrally and pedicel apically brownish yellow, flagellum brown; legs almost entirely brown to entirely yellow except apical tarsomere of each leg. Head. Head width 245-290 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Vertex without stemmaticum; mid ocellus minute, diameter about 16 . LOL at least $4 \times$ greatest diameter of mid ocellus. Antenna. Flagellum (Fig. 76) with 2 mps on $\mathrm{fl}_{7}$ and on $\mathrm{fl}_{8}$, and 7 mps on clava. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}$ slightly the longest funicle segment. $\mathrm{Fl}_{1}-\mathrm{fl}_{6}$ length/width ratios ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 3.05$ 4.42, $\mathrm{fl}_{2} 2.69-4.08, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 1.85-2.69, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 1.51-2.22, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 1.37-1.80, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 1.35-1.64$; clava $2.6-3.5 \times$ as long as wide, and about as long as $\mathrm{fl}_{6}-\mathrm{ff}_{8}$ together, with a single row of about 6 abutting spherical bullae internally along ventral margin. Measurements (length/width, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ): scape 161-186/23-30, pedicel 51-61/29-33, fl $50-59 / 12-17, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 45-58 / 14-17$, fl $41-53 / 18-23$, $\mathrm{fl}_{4} 30-37 / 16-20, \mathrm{fl}_{5} 30-36 / 18-23$, fl $629-36 / 21-23$, fl $42-50 / 25-30, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 41-45 / 28-30$, clava 114-132/36-46. Total flagellum length 422-506. Mesosoma. Pronotum (Figs. 122, 124) with collar moderately wide and short but clearly visible in dorsal view, with well defined carina. Mesonotum (Figs. 122, 124) very wide; midlobe of mesoscutum with meshes raised; scutellar seta short, extending at most slightly posterior to medially almost straight frenal line; frenum about $0.7 \times$ mesoscutellum length and apparently smooth medially (at most very faintly reticulate), reticulate laterally. Metanotum with metascutellum smooth. Propodeum (Fig. 138) smooth between carinae; anterior margin with stub slightly lateral to lateral margin of metascutellum; median areole abutting metascutellum, the median carina absent and replaced by the two carinae forming inner margin of dorsolateral areoles; plica almost straight and not divided anterodorsally, ending just anterior and medial to stub. Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 158) length $862-1049$, width $266-375(n=5)$, length/width $2.69-3.26$, longest marginal setae 49-77, about $0.19 \times$ as long as greatest wing width. Marginal/stigmal vein
$80-94$, at most 0.48 submarginal length. Hind wing length $668-802$, width $35-49$, longest marginal setae about $2.26 \times$ greatest wing width. Metasoma. Petiole shorter than metacoxa. Gaster (Fig. 171) with ovipositor 368-464 long ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) , 1.14-1.27× as long as metatibia (357-403).

Male. Body length. 794-1152 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ). Antenna (Fig. 88). Measurements, length $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ : scape $153-159$, pedicel $58-63$, $\mathrm{fl}_{1} 69-72, \mathrm{fl}_{2} 87-103, \mathrm{fl}_{3} 87-103, \mathrm{fl}_{4} 79-94$, $\mathrm{fl}_{5} 82-$ $106, \mathrm{fl}_{6} 85-103, \mathrm{fl}_{7} 86-99, \mathrm{fl}_{8} 86-94, \mathrm{fl}_{9} 90-93, \mathrm{fl}_{10} 85-88, \mathrm{fl}_{11} 86-87$. Total flagellar length $918-1050 . \mathrm{Fl}_{6}$ length/width $3.50-4.40$, with $5(6$ ? ) mps. Mid ocellus diameter 24. Genitalia fairly wide for most of its length (Fig. 185, 186).

Barcoding. Four of the five specimens yielded barcodes (CNCHYM07484, CNCHYM07485, CNCHYM07487, and CNCHYM07488) and one did not (CNCHYM07486). The variation among the specimens was not uniform and the sequences were of low or medium quality except for CNCHYM07485. The two specimens from Virginia varied more between them than each did to the single specimens from Ontario and British Columbia.

Hosts and habitat. The only known host is Philaenus spurtarius (L.) [ $=$ P. leucophthalmus (L.)] (Cercopoidea). Weaver and King (1954) collected 304 host eggs in 1951 and obtained 21 individuals of $O$. vulgatus (named as $O$. americanus), a parasitism rate of $6.9 \%$. In 1952 they collected 1084 eggs, $10.7 \%$ of which showed evidence of parasitism but parasitoids did not emerge from all these. The authors concluded that $10 \%$ parasitism rate is therefore too high an estimate. Specimens have been collected in a weedy garden, urban lot, mixed grass prairie, rocky knoll with intact Manzanita/Arbutus, hardwood/deciduous forest, sugar bush [maple forest], old field, ocean side vegetation, ex soil. Presumably, O. vulgatus occurs wherever its host occurs. It has been intercepted on thyme cuttings from France.

Distribution. Palaearctic Region (Triapitsyn 2010), New Zealand (Triapitsyn 2010, Huber 2010, as an unintentional introduction), Nearctic Region (Triapitsyn 2010, and additional records below).

Material examined. 323 and $7 \delta^{\text {. }}$. CANADA. Alberta. 0.5 km E Writing-on-Stone Provincial Park, 8-17.ix.1981, D. McCorquodale (1q, CNC). British Columbia. Burnaby, Simon Frazer University, 26.xii.1979-2.i.1980, D. Gillespie, PT (5q, CNC); Burnaby Mountain, 6.x-12.xi.1979, 7-21.iii, 21.iii-3.iv.1980, D. Gillespie, YPT (24 , CNC); Queen Charlotte Islands, Graham I., Masset, 9-13.vii.1983, 1.M.Smith (19, CNC); Shushwap Lake, Blind Bay, 15-31.viii.1986, 11-21.vii.1987, C.A. Elsey, MT (2 , CNC); Sorrento, 17-20.vii.1991, H. Goulet, weedy garden, YPT (1q, CNC); Upper Carmanah Valley, 1227.viii, 28.viii-9.ix, 10-29.ix, 17-26.x.1991, N. Winchester, MT (8. 3 , CFS-Victoria); Victoria vicinity, $48^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 123^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 248 \mathrm{~m}, 4-18 . i x .2005$, N. Winchester, rocky knoll, intact Manzanita/Arbutus, CNCHYM07485 (3, CNC). Nova Scotia. Bridgetown, 26.vii, 8.viii, 16.viii. 4.ix. 16.ix, 23.ix, 1.x.1913, G.E. Saunders (12q, USNM). Ontario. Alfred Bog, 13.vii.1981, L. LeSage (19, CNC); Ancaster, 4-11.vi.1994, B. DeJonge, prairie remnant, CNCHYM07484(1q, CNC); nearAncaster, $43^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 80^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 29$. ix-27.v.1995, B. DeJonge, bush/prairie, MT (1q, CNC), Newton Woods, 20-31.v.1996, B. DeJonge, forest, MT (Iq, CNC); 15 km SW. Chaffey's Locks, 28.v.1987, C. Yoshimoto, C. Hayward, sweeping,

CNCHYM07486 [barcode failed] (4. CNC); Chatham, emerged xii. 1956 ex Plrilaembs lencophlthatmus, H.B. Wressell, G.R. Driscoll ( $7 \mp$ on 2 slides, CNC); Chatterton. 13 mi . N. Belleville, 20 and 30.v.1962, 1.x.1970, C.D. Dondale, meadow (37, CNC); Guelph, University of Guelph arboretum, $43^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 80^{\circ}$ 13'W, 14.v, 12-32.v, 24-31.v, 5.vi, 2006, L. Coote (97, UCRC); 1lamilton, 15.v.1981, M. Sanborne, MT ( 27, CNC); Joker's 1 lill (near Newmarket), hwy 9 between Dufferin \& Bathhurst Streets, 1-9.vi.2002, A. Bennett, sugar bush, MT (17, CNC); London, Fanshawe Experimental Farm. 5.ix-2.x.1981, 5.xi.1982, A. Tomlin ( 2 , 1, CNC); Middleville, White Lake road, 13.v.1986, H. Goulet, S. Pcck, car net (17, CNC); Milton, 2.vi.1978, J.M. Heraty, YPT (17, CNC); Point Pelee National Park, 3.x.1984, A. Borkent ( 17, CNC). USA. Arkansas. Montgomery Co.: Ouachita National Forest, $270 \mathrm{~m}, 34^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 94^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 3-4 . v i .2003$, R. Kula, M. Yoder (I , UCRC). California. Marin Co.: Lily Pond, Alpine Lake, 1500', D.D. Munroe, 4-10.v. 1971 (17, 10, CNC). San Bernardino Co.: 5 mi. E. Wrightwood, Summit Valley, 21.v.1981, M.E. Schauff, sweeping (1q, USNM). Stomislous Co.: Oakdale, $37^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 120^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 30 . \mathrm{iv} .2007$, rural yard, R. Shurtz, S. Fullerton (2 2 , UCFC). Kansas. Riley Co.: Konza Prairie Biological Station, Watershed $2 \mathrm{C}, 39^{\circ} 04.254^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 96^{\circ} 33.639^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 26 . v i-3 . v i i .2006$, G. Zolnerowich, Metlevski, MT (1q, USNM). Maryland. Calvert Co.: American Chestnut Land Trust, Warrior's Rest Sanctuary, $38^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 54.37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 35.71^{\prime \prime}$ W, 14.ix.2007, M. Gates, R. Kula (17, USNM); Port Republic, viii-ix.1986, M. Sharkey, MT ( $1 \%$, CNC); 4 mi. S. Prince Frederick, 16.iv7.v, 24.ix-14.xi.1987, L. Masner, hardwood forest, MT, FIT (12 , CNC). Howard Co.: Clarksville, 8.xi.1986, A. Denno, E. Grissell, MT (1q, USNM). Montgomery Co.: 4 mi . SW. Ashton, 5.v.1985, G.F. and J.F. Hevel (1q, USNM), Silver Springs, 11-2.vii.1980, E.E. Grissell (1q, UCRC). Prince George's Co.: Beltsville Agriculture Research Center, 18.viii.1983, M.E. Schauff, sweeping old field ( 1 , USNM) ; Laurel, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, 16-25.v, 25.v-1.vi.1979, M.E. Schauff ( 3 q, USNM). New Jersey. Sussex Co.: 17.i.1962, L.D. Parker, ex meadow spittlebug ( $5 \neq$ on one slide, USNM). New York. Tompkins Co.: McLean, McClean Bog, $736^{\prime}, 42^{\circ} 32.687^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 76^{\circ} 15.995^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 26 . v .2007$, E.F. Drake, YPT on leaf duff (1q. UCRC). Ohio. Cıyaloga Co.: Cleveland, urban lot, $41^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 49.84^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 81^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 43.14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{K}$. Freeman, YPT (19, CLEV); Hunting Valley, Luce Creek Preserve, 15-26.v.2003, T. Pucci ( 1 , CLEV). Fairfield Co.: Barnebey Center, $39^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $82^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ W, 28.iv-6.v.1993, N. Johnson, MT ( 67, CNC). Warrerr Co.: 4.2 km SSE Donaldson, Rock Run, $540 \mathrm{~m}, 41^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 78^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 12 . v .1994$, M. Ricke (1q, ICCM). Wayne Co.: Wooster, 28.iv.1952, ex meadow spittlebug, C.R. Weaver ( 59 on one slide, USNM); same locality, [no month] 1951, ex spittlebug eggs. R.C. Weaver ( 2 , on one slide USNM), [no specific locality], x.1950, ex spittlebug egg, C.R. Weaver ( 1 q on point, USNM). Oregon. Baker Co.: $18 . v i i .1981$, R.E. Orth (1q, CNC). Jackson Co.: 10.5 mi . E. Ashland, road to Howard Prairie Lake, $42.2404^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 122.5263^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 4 . \mathrm{v} .2005$, R. Rakitov, vacuum ( 1 , UCRC). Lincoln Co.: 2 mi . S. Newport, South Beach State Park, 23.viii.1984, M.E. Schauff, P. Hanson, sweeping ocean side vegetation (5ч, USNM). Pennsylvania. Cambia Co.: 3 km N. Wilmore, $650 \mathrm{~m}, 30 . \mathrm{v} .1991$, L. Masner, sweeping (1q, CNC). Dauplin Co.: Harrisburg, 2301 Cameron Street, emerged from soil under Andorra juniper, soil collected $21 . i i$, adult emerged 28.ii.1975, J. Steinhauer (1 7. USNM). Warren Co.: Weldbank, $41^{\circ} 45.749^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $79^{\circ} 5.752^{\prime}$ W, 29.v.2007, E.F. Drake. YPT (1 4, UCRC). Virginia. Clarke Co.: 2 mi. S. Boyce, University of Virginia, Blandy Experimental Farm, 19-30.iv, 6-18.iv, 24-30.iv, 1-13.v, 1424.v, $28 . v i i i-11$ ix.1990, D.R. Smith, MT ( $629,1 \delta^{3}$, USNM). Fairfax Co.: near Annandale,
4.iv.1986, 22-28.iv, 24-30.iv.1988, 29.iv-5.v, 6-12.v, 1-13.v, 13-19.v, 27.v-2.vi, 26.viii-1. ix. 1990 (39 , USNM); Turkey Run Park, $38^{\circ} 57.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 77^{\circ} 09.4^{\prime}$ W, 29.iv.2010, J. Huber, H. Goulet, deciduous forest, sweeping ( 2 , $18, \mathrm{CNC}$ ). Harly Co.: 3 mi . NE. Mathias, $38^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $78^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ W, 15-30.v.2001, 17.iv-3.v.2002, D.R. Smith, MT, CNCHYM07487 (8 $\uparrow$, CNC); Montgomery Co.: 8 km NW. Blacksburg, 1000m, 8.iv-9.v, 18-28.iv, 9-19.v.1987, rural area, CNC Hymenoptera team, MT (25 , CNC); Page Co.: Shenandoah National Park, Big Meadow, 18-28.iv, 17.iv-5.v, 5-22.v, 5.v-5.vi.1987, 1300m, CNC Hymenoptera team, CNCHYM07488 (24, CNC). Compton Dry Run, 15-16.iv.1995, L. Masner, YPT (19, CNC), Compton Gap, 800m, 17.iv-5.v.1987, CNC Hymenoptera team. MT (8q, CNC). Washington. Pacific Co.: 6 mi . N. Raymond, 19.vii.1988, J.D. Pinto (18, CNC).
FRANCE. Intercepted on thyme cuttings, New York port of entry, 19.x. 1951, L.J. Uttal, (1 1. USNM) [det. as $O$. heterotomus by B.D.Burks $[=O$. notatus] and $O$. ?vulgatus by S.V. Triapitsyn].

Unassigned specimens. About 90 additional specimens, mostly males but also a few females, either cannot be identified or may represent additional species. If the females represent new species more material is needed to describe them properly. Five barcoded specimens are unplaced to species. One female from Ontario, Ancaster, 12-19.ix.1994, B. DeJonge, meadow prairie CNCHYM07514, which was initially identified as $O$. longipetiohs is very likely not this species because of a difference of 12 base pairs (Fig. 200). Other specimens from the same locality are treated as $O$. longipetiolus but with slight reservations because the groove on the mesoscutum and the petiole are somewhat shorter. Two males from Alberta, Beaverlodge area, Saskatoon Mt., $962 \mathrm{~m}, 55^{\circ} 13.20^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 119^{\circ} 16.92^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 25 . v i i .2 . v i i i .2007$, J. Otani, natural meadow, sweeping, CNCHYM07480, CNCHYM07522 appear to be closest to $O$. notatus but differ by about 14 base pairs. Until females can be associated with them they cannot be described. Two specimens from Mexico (CNCHYM07520, CNCHYM0752I) differ from species north of Mexico and will be described elsewhere.

## Discussion

The following European material was examined: 141 O. notatus, 92 O. hemipterus, 66 O. vulgatus, 59 O. insignis, 5 O. sublaevis, and 4 O. novickyi Soyka [CNC, NMPC]. The first three of these species occur in North America, perhaps not surprisingly because they are the three most common and widespread European Ooctonns species. They appear to occur mainly in open, man-made habitats and the host of one, $O$. vulgatus, is a widespread European introduction in North America, occurring mainly in agricultural habitats. Likely, all are accidental introductions, not naturally Holarctic species. The last three species have not been found in North America. Ooctonus insignis, the largest European Ooctonus, might also have been expected to occur there as an accidental introduction because it is almost as common (based on collected material seen) as $O$. vulgatus but so far I have not seen North American specimens. The two remaining European species do not occur in North America. This is not surprising because they appear to be rare in Europe so the possibility of accidental human transport is small. So far none of the eastern Palearctic or Oriental species have been found in North America. However, the eastern Palaearctic species O. orientalis Doutt
resembles $O$.canadensis, and $O$. spartak Triapitsyn resembles $O$. occidentalis, suggesting a closer association of some western North American Ooctonns to eastern Asian specics than to castern North American and European species.

Males are very difficult or impossible to identify confidently based on morphology. It was hoped that barcoding would help associate males with females. If all 64 specimens submitted for barcoding at the Biodiversity Institute of Ontario had yielded barcodes of reasonable length (at least 200 base pairs) it is likely that the males would have bcen associated correctly with corresponding females. But only 33 of 64 ( $52 \%$ ) specimens yielded such barcodes. An additional specimen barcoded by Guclph (09BBHYM696) is included in the Neighbour-joining tree (Fig. 200); it is a specimen of O. silvensis. Bar codes corresponded to the species identities based on morphological characters, and helped to correct identification errors based on morphology. In one case, the series of three male specimens successfully barcoded contained two specimens of O. occidentalis (correctly identified, based on morphology) and one of $O$. canadensis (initially misidentified as $O$. occidentalis). In the second case, a male of $O$. notatus was misidentified as a male of $O$. boltei but their bar codes were identical so they are clearly the same species and I assigned them to $O$. notatus. In the third case, a barcode divergence of $4 \%$ suggested two species within $O$. longipetiolus.

No barcodes were obtained for two males that I could not place to species based on morphology or by association with females. One male (CNC) with the same collection data as a specimen of $O$. hemipterus, namely, Cantwell, Denali hwy, route $8, \mathrm{mi}$. 85-130, 24.vii.1984, S. \& J. Peck, taiga-tundra, car netting, CNCHYM07524 [barcode failed] is clearly not $O$. hemipterus based on morphology. And one male (CNC) from Alberta, Edmonton, 22.viii.1984, T.G. Spanton, CNCHYM07526 [barcode failed] also cannot be identified to species.

On the basis of morphology and/or barcodes more species of Ooctomus occur in North America north of Mexico than are treated here. Much more material is needed to be able to describe them meaningfully.
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TABLE 1. Abbreviations used in the figures descriptions. Codes in parentheses are entries in the Hymenoptera Anatomy Ontology project website (HAO) [accessed 12 October, 2012]. where applicable. Entries can be accessed using the structure http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/ HAO_XXXXXXX, where XXXXXXX is the HAO ID number.

## Body (Figs. 6-8, 195-199)

ac acropleuron (HAO_0001155)
as anterior scutellum (HAO_0001167)
ax axilla (HAO 0000155)
axc axillar carina (HAO_0000156)
axl axillula (HAO_0000160)
axls axillular sulcus/carina (HAO_0001159)
brfl basal ring of femur ( $=$ trochantellus) (HAO 0001033)
clm collum ( $=$ neck) (HAO_0000837)
clr collar (HAO_0000832)
cos costula (HAO 0000486)
cxl procoxa (HAO_0001122)
cx2 mesocoxa (HAO_0000635)
cx3 metacoxa (HAO_0000587)
dcl prodiscrimen (HAO_0000823)
dc2 mesodiscrimen (HAO_0000545)
dor $=$ no3m dorsellum ( $=$ metascutellum or mid panel of metanotum) $(\mathrm{HAO} 0000625)$
epm2 mesepimeron (HAO_0000539)
eps2 mesepisternum (HAO_0000541)
fln funicle segment (females) or flagellomere (males) (HAO_0000342)
fmd femoral depression (HAO_0000326)
fr frenum (= posterior scutellum) (HAO_0000355)
fra frenal arm (HAO_0001903)
frl frenal line (HAO_0000354)
fu2p mesofurcal pit (HAO_0000549)
gsn gastral sternum (HAO_0002023)
gtn gastral tergum (HAO_0002024)
Ilm lateral lobe of mesoscutum (HAO_0000466)
LOL least ocellar length (Fig. 52) (HAO_0000480)
lpa lateral panel of axilla (HAO_0000468)
mlm midlobe of mesoscutum (HAO_0000520)
mps multiporous plate sensilla (= longitudinal sensilla, of authors) (Fig. 76) (HAO_0001936)
mtp metatrochantinal plate (HAO_0000588)
not notaulus (HAO_0000647)
nol pronotum (HAO 0000853)
noll lateral panel of pronotum (HAO 0002025)
nolm midlobe of pronotum
no2 mesonotum (HAO 0000556)
no3 metanotum (HAO_0000603)
no31 lateral panel of metanotum (HAO_0000600)
no3m $=$ dor mid panel of metanotum $(=$ metascutellum $=$ dorsellum $)\left(H A O \_0000625\right)$
od mid ocellar diameter (Fig. 53) (HAO 0002027)

TABLE 1 continued...

OOL ocular-ocellar length (Fig. 52) (HAO_0000662)
ovip ovipositor (HAO_0000679)
pea pronotal carina (HAO_0001031)
pd propodeum (HAO_0001248)
phl phallobase (HAO_0000713)
ple plica (HAO_0000735)
pls mesopleural suture (HAO_0001706)
pll propleuron (HAO_0000862)
pl2 mesopleuron (HAO_0000566)
pl3 metapleuron (HAO_0001272)
POL postocellar length (Fig. 52) (HAO_0000759)
pre prepectus (HAO_0000811)
prs propodeal stub
psp propodeal spiracle (HAO_0000329)
ptl petiole (HAO_0000020)
sc mesoscutum (= mlm +1 lm ) ( HAO 0001490 )
scl mesoscutellum (HAO_0000574)
stl prosternum (HAO_0000873)
st2 mesopleurosternum (HAO_0001710)
tg tegula (HAO_0000993)
trl protrochanter (HAO_0001123)
tr2 mesotrochanter (HAO_0001130)
tr3 metatrochanter (HAO_0001139)
tsa transscutal articulation (HAO_0001204)
tss transepisternal suture (HAO_0001205)

## Fore wing (Figs. 9, 10)

av anal vein (HAO_0000093)
ax 3 rd axillary sclerite (HAO 0001009)
bc basal cell
bf basal fold
ca claval area (clavus) [(vannal area of Gibson (2004)]
cf claval fold/claval flexion line
cc costal cell
cusl cubital line of setae
dm distal macrochaeta
ff frenal fold [subcubital fold of Gibson (2004)]
hpl humeral plate (HAO_0000403)
hy hypochaeta
pm proximal macrochaeta
psl placoid sensilla
pst parastigma
smv submarginal vein
stv stigmal vein


FIGURES 1-5. Ooctonus females, lateral habitus. 1, hemipterus, short winged specimen; 2, readae; 3, quadricarinatus; 4, silvensis; 5, vulgatus. Scale bars are $1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 6, 7. Ooctonus spp., scanning electron micrographs (SEM). 6, quadricarinatus mesosoma, coxae, and petiole, lateral; 7, quadricarinatus, mesosoma, dorsal. See Table 1 for terms.


FIGURES 8-10. Ooctomis spp. 8, readue, propodeum, dorsal, SEM; 9, longipetiolus, fore wing base, dorsal, SEM; 10, readae, fore wing base, transmitted light. See Table 1 for terms.


FIGURES 11-14. Ooctonus spp., lectotoypes. 11, auripes; 12, canadensis; 13, fuscipes, 14, occidentalis. Scale bars are $1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 15-17. Ooctonus spp., primary types. 15, americanus, holotype; 16, silvensis, holotype; 17, quadricarinatus, lectotype. Scale bars are $1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 18-25. Ooctonus spp., primary type slides. 18, americamus; 19, arizonensis; 20, boltei; 21, longipetiolus; 22, quadricarinatus; 23, readae; 24, silvensis; 25, triapitsyni.


FIGURES 26-31. Ooctomus females, head, anterior view. 26, aphrophorae; 27, arizonensis: 28, boltei, holotype; 29, canadensis; 30, fuscipes; 31, hemipterns. Scale bars are $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 32-37. Ooctonus females, head, anterior view. 32, longipetiolus, holotype; 33, notatus; 34, occidentalis; 35, quadricarinatus; 36, readae; 37, silvensis. Scale bars are 100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.


FIGURES 38, 39. Ooctontis females, heads, anterior view. 38, triapitsyni; 39, vulgatus. Scale bars are $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 40-45. Ooctonus females (except as noted), heads, dorsal view. 40, arizonensis, holotype; 41, canadensis, male; 42, fuscipes, male; 43, hemipterus; 44, notatus; 45, occidentalis. Scale bars are $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 46-51. Ooctonns females (except as noted), head, dorsal view, SEM. 46 aphrophorae; 47, aphrophorae, male; 48, arizonensis, male; 49, boltei; 50, canadensis, male; 51, fuscipes. Scale bars are $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 52-57. Ooctonus females (except as noted), head, dorsal view, SEM. 52, hemipterus; 53, longipetiolus, male; 54, notatus; 55, notatus, male; 56, occidentalis, male; 57, quadricarinatus, male. Scale bars are $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 58 62. Ooctonus females, head, dorsal view, SEM (except Fig. 62). 58, readae; 59, silvensis; 60, triapitsyni; 61, vulgatus; 62, hemipterus, lateral view, transmitted light. Scale bars are $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 63-69. Ooctonus females, antenna, lateral view. 63, aphrophorae; 64, arizonensis, holotype; 65, boltei, holotype; 66, canadensis; 67, fuscipes; 68, hemipterus; 69 , longipetiolus, holotype. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 70-76. Ooctomus females, antenna, lateral view. 70, notatus; 71, occidentalis; 72. quadricarinatus; 73, readae; 74, silvensis: 75, triapitsyni; 76, vulgatus (inset is $\mathrm{f}_{8}+$ clava, showing row of six bullae). Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; inset is $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 77-82. Ooctonus males, antenna, lateral view. 77, aphrophorae; 78, arizonensis: 79, canadensis; 80, fuscipes; 81, hemipterus; 82, longipetiolus. Scale bars are $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 83-88. Ooctomus males, antenna, lateral view. 83, notatus; 84, occidentalis; 85, quadricarinatus, lectotype; 86 , readae; 87 , silvensis; 88 , vulgatus. Scale bars are $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 89-96. Ooctomus females (except as noted), mesosoma, lateral view. 89, aphrophorae, male; 90, arizonensis, male; 91, fuscipes, male; 92, hemipterus; 93, longipetiolus; 94, readae; 95, quadricarinatus; 96, silvensis. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 97-99. Ooctomus females, mesosoma, dorsal view. 97, aphrophorae; 98, arizonensis, holotype; 99 , boltei, holotype. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 100-102. Ooctonus females (except as noted), mesosoma, dorsal view, SEM. 100, aphrophorae, male; 101, arizonensis; 102, boltei. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 103-105. Ooctonus females, mesosoma, dorsal view. 103, canadensis; 104, fuscipes; 105, hemipterus. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 106-108. Ooctonus females (except as noted), mesosoma, dorsal view, SEM. 106, canadensis, male; 107, fuscipes; 108, hemipterus. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 109-111. Ooctonus females, mesosoma, dorsal view. 109, longipetiolus, holotype; 110 , notatus; 111, occidentalis. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 112-114. Ooctonus females (except as noted), mesosoma, dorsal view, SEM. 112, longipetiolus, male; 113, notatus; 114, occidentalis, male. Seale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 115-117. Ooctonus females, mesosoma, dorsal view. 115, quadricarinatus; 116, readae, holotype; 117, silvensis. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 118-120. Ooctonus females (except as noted), mesosoma, dorsal view, SEM. 118 , quadricarinatus, male; 119, readae, holotype; 120, silvensis. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 121, 122. Ooctonus females, mesosoma, dorsal view. 121, triapitsyni; 122, vulgatus. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 123, 124. Ooctonus females, mesosoma, dorsal view, SEM. 123, triapitsıni; 124, vulgatus. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 125-130. Ooctonus females (except as noted), posterior part of frenum to propodeum, dorsal view, SEM. 125. aphrophorae; 126, arizonensis; 127, boltei; 128, canadensis, male; 129, fuscipes; 130, hemipterus. Scale bars are $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 131-136. Ooctonis females (except as noted), posterior part of frenum to propodeum, dorsal view, SEM. 131, longipetiolus, male; 132, notatus; 133, occidentalis, male; 134, quadricarinatus, male; 135, readae; 136, silvensis. Scale bars are $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 137-140. Ooctomits females: 137 and 138, posterior part of frenum to propodeum, dorsal view, SEM. 137, triapitsyni; 138, vulgatus. 139, dorsum of mesonotum, inside view, SEM. 140, quadricarinatus, scutellum (slightly below surface), transmitted light. Scale bars are $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 141-150. Ooctonus females (except as noted), wings. 141, aphrophorae; 142, arizonensis, holotype; 143, boltei, male; 144, canadensis; 145, fuscipes; 146, hemipterus; 147 and 148 , hemipterus, short winged forms; 149, hemipterus, male; 150, longipetiolus. Scale bars are $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ except $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for Figures 147 and 148.


FIGURES 151-158. Ooctonus females (except as noted), wings. 151, notatus; 152, occidentalis; 153, quadricarinatus, male; 154, readae; 155 and 156, silvensis, showing variation; 157, triapitsyni, holotype; 158 , vulgatus. Scale bars are $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 159-165. Ooctonus females, petiole + gaster, dorsal view. 159, aphrophorae; 160, arizonensis, holotype; 161, boltei, holotype; 162, canadensis; 163, fuscipes; 164, hemipterus; 165, longipetiolus. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 166-171. Ooctonus females, petiole + gaster, dorsal view. 166, notatus; 167, occidentalis; 168, readae, holotype; 169, silvensis; 170, triapitsyni; 171, vulgatus. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 172-177. Ooctonus females, petiole + gaster, ventral view. 172, hemipterus; 173, longipetiolus, holotype; 174, notatus; 175, occidentalis; 176, readae; 177, silvensis. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 178-186. Ooctomus males, gaster and genitalia, ventral view (except as noted). 178, aphrophorae; 179, fuscipes; 180, occidentalis; 181, quadricarinatus, dorsal; 182. silvensis; 183, canadensis, dorsal; 184, longipetiolus; 185, vulgatus, dorsal; 186, vulgatus. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 187-192. Ooctonus females (except as noted), petiole + gaster, lateral view. 187, aphrophorae; 188, hemipterus; 189, quadricarinatus; 190. readae; 191, aphrophorae. male; 192, quadricarinatus, male. Scale bars are $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


FIGURES 193. 194. Male genitalia. 193, canadensis, dorsal; 194, silvensis, ventral.


FIGURES 195-199. Ooctonus quadricarinatus, metasoma, except Figures 197 and 199. 195, female, lateral; 196, male, lateral; 197, male, mesosoma, ventral; 198, male, ventral; 199, Ooctonus sp., male, ventral. See Table 1 for terms.


FIGURE 200. Neighbour-joining tree based on Kimura-2-Parameter distances for cytochrome c oxidase I.

TABLE 2. CNC barcode and Genbank accession numbers for Nearetic Ooctomus species.

| Ooctonus species | CNCHYM\# | Sex | State/Province/Country | Genebank <br> Accession \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arizonensis | 07511 | $\hat{\sigma}$ | Arizona | KC157669 |
| camadensis | 07506 | ठ | California | KC157670 |
| fuscipes | 07499 | $\widehat{\widehat{N}}$ | British Columbia | KC157672 |
|  | 07501 | + | Oregon | KC157673 |
|  | 07502 | q | Oregon | KC157674 |
|  | 07503 | q | Oregon | KC157671 |
| hemipterus | 07476 | $q$ | Ontario | KC157675 |
|  | 07477 | $\widehat{0}$ | Quebec | KC157676 |
|  | 07478 | $\widehat{ }$ | Ontario | KC157677 |
| longipetiolus | 07516 | q | Virginia | KC157678 |
| notatus | 07459 | q | Ontario | KC157679 |
|  | 07461 | + | Prince Edward Island | KC157680 |
|  | 07462 | $\widehat{ }$ | Alberta | KC157681 |
| occidentalis | 07504 | $\hat{0}$ | California | KC157682 |
|  | 07507 | ${ }^{\top}$ | California | KC157683 |
| quadricarinatus | 07470 | $\widehat{\widehat{0}}$ | Nova Scotia | KC157684 |
|  | 07471 | $\delta^{\top}$ | Ontario | KC157685 |
|  | 07472 | $\widehat{ }$ | Nova Scotia | KC157686 |
|  | 07473 | $0^{*}$ | Nova Scotia | KC157687 |
| readae | 07464 | q | Ontario | KC157688 |
| silvensis | 07491 | q | Florida | KC157689 |
|  | 07498 | ¢ | Missouri | KC157690 |
|  | 07496 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | Oklahoma | KC157691 |
| vulgatus | 07484 | + | Ontario | KC157701 |
|  | 07485 | ¢ | British Columbia | KC157700 |
|  | 07487 | ¢ | Virginia | KC157699 |
|  | 07488 | q | Virginia | KC157698 |
| Unassigned |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 07514 | ¢ | Ontario | KC157695 |
| 2 | 07480 | $\delta$ | Alberta | KC157697 |
| 2 | 07522 | $\delta$ | Alberta | KC157696 |
| 3 | 07520 | $\delta$ | Mexico | KC157694 |
| 3 | 07521 | ¢ | Mexico | KC157693 |
| 4 | 07513 | $\delta$ | Arizona | KC157692 |

